1	Thursday, 13 June, 1946
2	
3	
4	INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL
5	FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal
6	War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan
7	
8	The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,
9	at 0930.
0	
1	
2	
3	Appearances:
4	For the Tribunal, same as before with the
5	addition of: THE HONORABLE MR. JUSTICE DELFIN JARANILLA,
16	Member from the Commonwealth of the Philippines.
17	For the Prosecution Section, same as before.
8	For the Defense Section, same as before.
9	
0	
1	(English to Japanese and Japanese to English
2	interpretation was made by OKA, Takashi and
3	TSUCHIYA, Jun, Hidekazu Hayashi acting as
4	Monitor.)
25	

```
THE PRESIDENT: This Tribunal is now fully
    constituted for the first time. We have with us today
    the Honorable Mr. Justice Delfin Jarenilla, the repre-
  4 | sentative of the Commonwealth of the Philippines.
  5
              All the accused are present except OKAWA and
 6 MATSUOKA who appear by their respective counsel.
 7
              Are there any additional appearances of counsel?
 8
              MR. UZAWA: Mr. President, I am UZAWA, Somei.
 9
    I would like to present additional defense counsel:
 10
              OHTA, Kinjiro, counsel for the defendant
11
   DOHIHARA, Kenji;
12
              ITO, Kiyoshi, counsel for the defendent MATSUI;
13
              OKAMOTO, Toshio, counsel for the defendant
14
    MINAMI;
15
              SHIMANOUCHI, Ryuki, counsel for the defendant
16
    OSHIMA; and
17
              NARITOMI, Nobuo, counsel for the defendant
18
    SHIRATORI.
19
              That is all.
20
              THE FRESIDENT: Request for changes in the
21
    record.
22
             MR. YAMAOKA: Your Honor, I have some additional
23
   appearances to note, if I may.
24
             May it please the Tribunal, I have the honor at
25
   this time of presenting additional American defense
```

counsel who have duly filed their appearances with the clerk. 2 3 Mr. Michael Levin, of Milwaukee, Wisconsin, a member of the bars of the State of Wisconsin and the Supreme Court of the United States, counsel for SUZUKI, Teiichi. 7 Lieutenant Aristides G. Lazarus, United States 8 Marine Corps, of Mount Vernon, New York, member of the New York ber, counsel for Field Marshal HATA, Shunroku. 10 Mr. William J. McCormack of Chicago, Illinois, 11 member of the Illinois bar, counsel for General MINAMI, 12 Jiro. 13 Mr. Roger F. Cole of Detroit, Michigan, member 14 of the Michigan bar, counsel for General MUTO, Akira. 15 Mr. John G. Brannon of Kansas City, Missouri, 16 member of the Missouri bar, counsel for Admiral NAGANO, 17 Osami. 18 THE PRESIDINT: We have requests for changes in 19 the record by the British and Chinese prosecutors. If 20 there are no objections, these changes will be made. 21 The changes will be made. 22 Now, are there any other matters to be brought 23 before the Tribunal before we proceed with the trial? 24 Mr. Justice Mansfield. 25 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: If the Tribunal please,

in accordance with the order made by the Tribunal on the 4th of June last, I proceed to tender the following documents to the Court: The Convention for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, signed at The Hague on the 29th of July, 1899. THE PRESIDENT: Exhibit 1. 8 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: Exhibit 12, I believe, your Honor. 10 THE PRESIDENT: Exhibit 12. 11 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: Yes. 12 MR. MATTICE: May it please the Tribunel, on 13 behalf of defense counsel, may I inquire what counsel 14 means by "tendering" the exhibits? 15 We are accustomed to an exhibit being identified 16 and then to an exhibit being offered in evidence. What 17 is meant by "tendering"? I confess we are at sea. 18 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: In accordance with the 19 order which I will now proceed to read, I am offering 20 these documents in evidence. The order states: 21 "The Tribunal gives liberty to the prosecution 22 to produce the documents listed in Schedule B of the 23 application without formal proof thereof but subject, 24 of course, to all just exceptions involving the accuracy, 25 relevency and materiality of the documents. Subject to

such exceptions, the Tribunel will not require proof of the authenticity of the documents and shall receive them in evidence."

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MR. MATTICE: Understanding, then, from what counsel has said, that the documents are being offered in evidence, and because of the fact that these documents, or copies thereof, were delivered to us yesterday, and we have not had time even to read them, may we reserve the right to interpose such objections as may occur to us at a later time?

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: I would point out to the Tribunal that these documents were delivered to the defense counsel last Saturday.

MR. MATTICE: I can only speak for myself, if the Tribunal please; but, here they are, and I received them yesterday.

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: The next document which I produce is The Hague Convention No. I for the Pacific Settlement of International Disputes, signed at The Hague on the 18th of October, 1907. I ask that it be marked Exhibit 13.

The next document: The Hague Convention No. III, relative to the Opening of Hostilities, signed at The Hague on the 18th of October, 1907. I ask that that be marked Exibit 14.

MR. MATTICE: May I inquire, if the Tribunal 1 please, what the ruling is on our request that we be 2 permitted to interpose such objections as may occur to 3 us when we have had time to peruse these documents? 4 THE PRESIDENT: The rule has been read. 5 It preserves your rights. There is really no need to 6 7 preserve them further. 8 MR. MATTICE: Very well, sir. 9 THE PRESIDENT: But any objection you make here 10 is now noted. 11 MR. MATTICE: Very well. MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: The next document, 12 which I ask to be marked Exhibit 15 -- Treaties Governing 13 Land Warfare; Hague IV, the 18th of October, 1907, 14 15 containing the Customs of War on Land; the Geneva Convention of 1929 relative to the Treatment of Prisoners 16 of War; and The Hague Convention No. V respecting the 17 Rights and Duties of Neutral Powers and Persons in War 18 19 on Land, signed at The Hague on the 18th day of October, 20 1907; 21 The next document, which I ask to be marked 22 Exhibit 16, is The Hague Convention No. X relative to 23 the Principles of Maritime Warfare; 24 The next document, which I ask to be marked 25 Exhibit 17, is The Convention and Final Protocol for the

```
Suppression of the Abuse of Opium and other Drugs, signed
1
   at The Hague on the 23d of January, 1912 and the 9th of
   July, 1913;
             The next document, which I ask to be marked
4
   Exhibit 18: The League of Nations Second Opium Conference
5
   Convention, signed at Ceneva on the 19th of February,
6
7
   1925;
             Exhibit 19: The Convention relating to Narcotic
8
   Drugs, signed at Geneva on the 13th of July, 1931.
9
             CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: If it please the Court, may
10
   I note my objection on behalf of the defendant HIRANUMA
11
   with respect to all the documents that are, have been,
12
   and will be introduced by Justice Mansfield, on the ground
13
   that they are incompetent, irrelevant and immaterial,
14
    so that I will not have to disturb Justice Mansfield at
15
16
    a later period?
              THE PRESIDENT: Counsel's objection is noted.
17
              MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: Exhibit 20 is the
18
    Geneva Convention of 1929 relative to the Treatment of
19
    the Wounded and the Sick, known as The Red Cross Convention;
20
              Exhibit 21: The Treaty of Portsmouth, the 15th
21
22
    of September, 1905;
              Exhibit 22: The Agreement effected by exchange
23
    notes between the United States and Japan, signed on the
24
    30th of November, 1908, declaring their policy in the
25
```

Far East;

Exhibit 23: The Treaty of Peace between Allied and Associated Powers and Germany, signed at Versailles on the 28th of June, 1919 and including the Covenant of the League of Nations;

Exhibit 24: The Treaty between the Pritish Commonwealth of Nations, France, Japan and the United States of America relating to their Insular Possessions and Insular Dominions in the Pacific Ocean, dated the 13th of December, 1921;

Exhibit 25: The Supplement to the Treaty of December 13, 1921 between the British Commonwealth of Nations, France, Japan and the United States of America relating to their Insular Possessions and Insular Dominions in the Pacific Ocean, February 6, 1922;

Exhibit 26: Identic Communication made to the Netherlands Government on the 4th of February, 1922 on behalf of the British Commonwealth of Nations and also mutatis mutandis on behalf of the other Powers signatory to the Quadruple Pacific Treaty of the 13th of December, 1921:

Exhibit 27: Identic Communication made to the Portugese Government on the 6th of February, 1922 on behalf of the British Commonwealth of Nations and also 'mutatis mutandis' on behalf of the other Powers signa-

tory to the Quadruple Pacific Treaty of the 13th of December, 1921; Exhibit 28: The Treaty between the United States of America, the British Commonwealth of Nations, Belgium, China, France, Italy, Japan, the Netherlands and Portugal, concluded and signed at Washington on the 6th of February, 1922, known as the Nine-Power Treaty; Exhibit 29: The Treaty between the United States and Japan, signed at Washingt on on the 11th of February, 1922. 

```
Wolf & Spratt
```

```
Exhibit 30: Draft Treaty, Far East Republic,
 1
   Japan (April, 1922), 17 demands;
 3
             Exhibit 31: Pekin Convention, 20 May 1925.
   That should be 20 January 1935;
 4
              Exhibit 32: The Pact of Faris, 27 August 1928,
 6
   known as Kellogg-Briand Fact;
 7
              Exhibit 33: Declaration of Imperial Japanese
 8
   Government, 27 June 1929, concerning Article 1 of the
 9
   Kellogg-Briand Fact of 27 August 1928:
10
              Exhibit 34: Washington Naval Limitation Treaty;
              Exhibit 35: London Naval Limitation Treaty;
11
12
              Exhibit 36: Anti-Comintern Pact, 25 November
13
   1936, with secret protocol;
14
              Exhibit 37: Cultural Agreement, Japan and
15
    Germany, 25 November 1938;
16
             Exhibit 38: Japanese Cultural Agreement of
17
    23 Harch 1939;
18
              Exhibit 39: Japanese-German Trade Agreement,
19
   29 July 1939;
20
              Exhibit 40: Basic relations Treaty between
21
   Japan and Wang Ching Wei.
22
             FR. FURNESS: As counsel for SHIGH ITSU, I
23
   would like to inquire as to whether or not that treaty
24
   was a treaty between Japan and one individual.
25
             MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: The treaty between
```

```
Japan and the independent Wang Ching Wei Regime in China.
  1
              MR. FURNESS: At that time, that was known as
  2
    the Nanking Government, is that correct?
  3
              MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: It was known at that
 4
    time as the National Government of the Republic of China.
              MR. FURNESS: May the record be amended to show
 6
 7
    that is the offer by counsel?
              MR. JUSTICE LANSFIELD: It was the self-styled
    National Government of the Republic of China and was
    not recognized as such by other nations except Japan.
 10
              LR. FURNESS: Nay the record show that it is
11
    not admitted by the defendant SHIGH ITSU and, also, by
12
13
    other counsel?
              MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: Well, the document
14
    speaks for itself, if the Tribunal please.
15
              THE PRESIDENT: The record will show the state-
16
   ments of counsel for the accused who has just spoken.
17
             MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: (Continuing with the
18
    introduction of Exhibits) Exhibit 41: Jap-Thailand
19
20
   non-Agression Pact, 12 June 1940;
21
              Exhibit 42: Agreement between Japan and
   France (Vichy), 22 September 1940;
22
23
             Exhibit 43: Tri-Fartite Pact between Germany,
24
   Italy and Japan, signed 27 September 1940;
25
             Exhibit 44: Feace Treaty Between French
```

```
Indo-China and Thailand Armistice, 31 January 1941;
              Exhibit 45: Russo-Japanese Neutrality Pact,
 3
    13 April 1941;
 4
              Exhibit 46: Jaranese-Vichy Commercial Treaty,
    6 May 1941;
 6
              Exhibit 47: Peace Treaty Between French
    Indo-China and Thailand, 9 May 1941;
 8
              Exhibit 48: Renewal of Anti-Comintern Pact,
 9
    25 Novmeber 1941;
10
              Exhibit 49: Military Convention between
11
    Japan, Germany and Italy, 18 January 1942;
12
              Exhibit 50: Tri-Fartite Economic Agreement,
13
    20 January 1943;
14
              Exhibit 51: Tri-Partite Military Alliance,
15
    11 December 1941;
16
              Exhibit 52: Japan-Letherlands Treaty of
17
    Arbitration, 19 April 1933, and Japan's Notice of
18
    Abrogation thereof;
19
              Exhibit 53: United States denunciation of
20
    American-Japanese Commercial Treaty, 26 July 1939;
21
              Exhibit 54: USSR. Denunciation of Neutrality
22
    Pact with Japan, 5 April 1945;
23
              Exhibit 55: Resolution of League, 4 March
24
    1932, calling for cessation of hostilities and
25
```

arrangements to regulate withdrawal of Japanese Forces;

Exhibit 56: Resolution of League, 11 March 1932, supporting doctrine of non-recognition of alteration in status quo brought about by force and appointing Committee of 19 to report on Sino-Japanese dispute;

Exhibit 57: Lytton Report;

Exhibit 58: Foreign Relations Series, U.S. and Japan, 2 Volumes; Japan's notice of withdrawal from Washington Naval Treaty, 29 December 1934; Japan withdraws from London Naval Conference, 15 January 1936; Japan's refusal to accept 14" naval gun limitation, 27 March 1937; Britain, United States and France ask Japan's naval building plans, 5 February 1938; Japan's refusal to reveal naval plans, 12 February 1938; Resolution of League, 30 April 1932 containing draft armistice; First and Second Reports adopted by the League of Nations Assembly on October 6, 1937;

Exhibit 59: Report of League, 24 February 1933, declaring Japan aggressor in Manchuria; Exhibit 60: China asks the League of Nations to apply Article 17, 11 September 1938;

Exhibit 61: League Council invites Japan

4

1

2

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

arrangements to regulate withdrawal of Japanese Forces;

Exhibit 56: Resolution of League, 11 March 1932, supporting doctrine of non-recognition of alteration in status quo brought about by force and appointing Committee of 19 to report on Sino-Japanese dispute;

Exhibit 57: Lytton Report;

Exhibit 58: Foreign Relations Series, U.S. and Japan, 2 Volumes; Japan's notice of withdrawal from Washington Naval Treaty, 29 December 1934; Japan withdraws from London Naval Conference, 15 January 1936; Japan's refusal to accept 14" naval gun limitation, 27 March 1937; Britain, United States and France ask Japan's naval building plans, 5 February 1938; Japan's refusal to reveal naval plans, 12 February 1938; Resolution of League, 30 April 1932 containing draft armistice; First and Second Reports adopted by the League of Nations Assembly on October 6, 1937;

Exhibit 59: Report of League, 24 February

1933, declaring Japan aggressor in Manchuria;

Exhibit 60: China aske the League of

Nations to apply Article 17, 11 September 1938;

Exhibit 61: League Council invites Japan

```
to sit with League, 19 September 1938;
  1
               Exhibit 62: Japan refuses invitation, 22
 2
 3
     September 1938;
               Exhibit 63: Brussels Conference;
 4
 5
               Exhibit 64: USSR. Declaration of War on
 6
     Japan, 8 August 1945;
 7
               Exhibit 65: Japan's notification of with-
 8
     drawal from the Assembly of the League of Nations,
 9
     27 March 1933;
10
               Exhibit 66: Japan's withdrawal from social
11
     and technical organs of the League, 2 November 1938;
12
               Exhibit 67: U.S. Order freezing Japanese
13
     and Chinese Assets, 25 July 1941;
14
               Exhibit 68: The Japanese Constitution;
15
               Exhibit 69: The Imperial House Law;
16
               Exhibit 70: Imperial Ordinance on the
17
     Organization of the Cabinet;
18
               Exhibit 71: Imperial Ordinance on the
19
     Organization of the Board of Planning of the Cabinet;
20
               Exhibit 72: Imperial Ordinance on the
21
     Organization of the Board of Information of the Cabi-
22
     net.
23
               MR. FURNESS: As counsel for SHIGEMITSU, I
24
     wish to inquire whether these exhibits which are being
25
     presented are in the original language. In other words,
```

are they in Japanese, or are they translations of 1 the original ordinances? 3 MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: They are in the Japanese language, and they were supplied to the 5 prosecution by the Japanese Government. 6 Exhibit 73: Imperial Ordinance on the General Rules of the Organization of Ministries; 8 Exhibit 74: Imperial Ordinance on the 9 Organization of the Ministry of War; 10 Exhibit 75: Imperial Ordinance on the 11 Organization of the Ministry of the Navy; 12 Exhibit 76: Imperial Ordinance on the 13 Organization of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs; 14 Exhibit 77: Rules of Imperial Military 15 Ordinance: 16 Exhibit 78: Imperial Military Ordinance 17 on the Organization of the General Staff Office of 18 the Army; 19 Exhibit 79: Imperial Military Ordinance 20 on the Organization of the General Staff Office of 21 the Navy; 22 Exhibit 80: Imperial Military Ordinance 23 of the Organization of the Imperial General Head-24 quarters; 25 Exhibit 81: Law on the Diet;

	Exhibit 82: Imperial Ordinance on the
House o	f Peers;
	Exhibit 83: Imperial Ordinance on the
Organiz	ation of the Privy Council and its Rules of
Procedu	
	Exhibit 84: The National General Mobiliza
tion La	W (No. 55 of 1939);
oron La	
	Exhibit 85: The Imperial Cath, 1889;
	Exhibit 86: The Preamble to the Consti-
tution;	
	Exhibit 87: The Imperial Ordinance on the
Organiza	ation of the Ministry of Overseas Affairs;
	Exhibit 88: Imperial Ordinance on the
Organiza	tion of the Ministry of Home Affairs;
	Exhibit 89: Imperial Ordinance on the
Organiza	tion of the Ministry of Munitions;
	Exhibit 90: Imperial Ordinance on the
on the O	rganization of the Ministry of Greater
East Asi	a;
	Exhibit 91: Imperial Ordinance on the
Organiza	tion of the Ministry of Education;
	Exhibit 92: Imperial Ordinance on the
rganiza	tion of the Prisoner of War Management
e par tme	nt, and Imperial Ordinance on the Organiza-
	the Prisoner of War Information Bureau;

Exhibit 93: Imperial Ordinance (1898 or 1908) relating to the requirement that the Minister of War and Navy Minister be Senior Officers on the Active List; Imperial Ordinance passed during HIROTA Administration (1936), again requiring the Minister of War and the Navy Minister to be Senior Officers on the Active List; Imperial Ordinance passed in YAMAMOTO Administration, permitting the Minister of War and the Navy Minister to be Senior Officers on the Reserve List;

Exhibit 94: Imperial Ordinance on the Organization of the Ministry of the Imperial House-hold;

Exhibit 95: Imperial Ordinance on the Organization of the Office of the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal;

Exhibit 96: Imperial Ordinance passed during the War, reorganizing the Cabinet and providing for a Cabinet Advisory Council, a Wartime Economic Council, and Administrative Supervisory Council, a Planning Board, et cetera;

Exhibit 97: Imperial Ordinance, November 1943, providing for appointment of additional Minister without Portfolio;

Exhibit 98: The New Peace Preservation Law,

1941;

Exhibit 99: Imperial Ordinance under National General Mobilization Law, filed as of 23 May 1946.

If the Tribunal please, Mr. Horwitz, who prepared the charts and maps, will now proceed to put them in.

MR. HORWITZ: If the Court please, at this time the prosecution offers in evidence its exhibit No. 100, a functional series of charts of the Japanese Government Organization as of the 31st of July of the year 1941, together with a report of the changes in the Japanese Government Organization during the period from September 1940 to December '41 to be annexed to the chart.

These charts were prepared under the order of the Supreme Commander by the Japanese Government and have been certified by the Central Liaison Office of the Japanese Government as to their accuracy. For the purpose of reproduction, only five of these charts have been reproduced and are being distributed both to the defense and to the Court. In addition, the first chart, showing the general over-all construction of the Japanese Government, has been made into a chart to be shown in public. We will now ask

that the page lift the first chart. (Whereupon, the charts above referred to were demonstrated as directed.) 2.3 

Goldberg & Yelden

MR. FURNESS: As counsel for SHIGEMITSU, I would like to inquire whether this was prepared for the purposes of this trial or was it a document in existance prior to the time the prosecution started preparing for the trial?

matter of fact, I do not believe that it makes any difference for what purpose these charts were prepared. They were prepared by the order of the Supreme Allied Commander for the purpose of information to be had. As a matter of fact, it was prepared for the prosecution as the first document we asked to have prepared for us when we came here.

tion to it until it is further qualified, the basis of my objection being that it is not a document covered by the Court's order which admits as official documents any documents supplied to the prosecution by the Japanese Government. It is my understanding that the Court's order applied only to documents already in existence for which the prosecution, or presumably the defense, made request and not documents prepared for the purposes of the trial.

THE PRESIDENT: The counsel's objection is noted.

MR. HORWITZ: Might I call to the Court's attention that there is an affidavit, rather a certificate of Mr. KATSUBE, Toshio, of the Central Liaison Office, certifying the correctness of this document.

MR. FURNESS: It is my understanding that my objection has been heard and overruled; is that correct, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: Noted.

MR. FURNESS: Might I then request a ruling on it, sir?

THE PRESIDENT: If counsel desires a ruling now, he may have it. The document is received for whatever probative value it may have.

MR. HORVITZ: The prosecution now offers in evidence its document, its exhibit No. 101, which is some overlays of maps of the areas showing the territory controlled by Japan and the Japanese armed forces annually on or about 31 December for each year from the year 1931 to the end of the year 1945.

These maps were prepared under the supervision of the First and Second Demobilization Offices of the Imperial Japanese Government pursuant to an order of the Supreme Commander. The overlays were furnished by the Demobilization Bureau. The finished maps have been made up by the Nippon Map Company under the supervision of the

First and Second Demobilization Ministries, and the maps have been certified as being true and correct by the Military Section of the Central Liaison Office of the Imperial Japanese Government.

For purposes of the evidence, we ask that the overlays be made the exhibit and that the maps which appear on the two side panels be treated as reproductions of the material contained on the overlays.

MR. FURNESS: May I enter the same objection on the same grounds, even more strenuously, since it seems to me that this is definitely a matter of proof and should not be submitted as evidence at this time.

THE PRESIDENT: The ruling will be the same.

MR. HORWITZ: The first map shows the situation as it existed on December 31, 1931.

DR. KIYOSE: Mr. President, as I wish to study this map and make a few remarks on this, may it be noted that I reserve objections?

For instance, the boundary of the Soviet Maritime Province is very wrong. That will have an effect on the Chang-ku-feng Incident -- on the decision regarding the Chang-ku-feng Incident. This is only one example, and I would like to be permitted to study the map as a whole further.

THE PRESIDENT: Dr. KIYOSE will have the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

opportunity to study the maps further. His objections 1 2 are noted. MR. HORWITZ: The map on the left panel, labeled "1932," shows the cituation as it existed as 4 of December 31, 1932. 5 6 The map on the right panel, marked "1933," 7 shows the situation as it existed on December 31, 1933. 8 The next map on the left panel, shows the 9 situation as it existed throughout the years 1934 to 10 1936, there being no substantial change during that 11 period. 12 The next map on the right panel, designated 13 "1937," discloses the situation as it existed on 14 December 31, 1937. 15 The next map on the left panel, designated 16 "1938," discloses the situation as it existed on 17 December 31, 1938. 18 The next map on the right panel, discloses the 19 situation as it existed on December 31, 1939. 20 The next map on the left panel, designated "1940," discloses the situation as it existed on 22 December 31, 1940. 23 The next map on the right panel, labeled "1941," 24 discloses the situation as it existed January 31, 1942. 25 The next map on the left panel, designated

"1943," discloses the situation as it existed on December 31, 1943.

The next map on the left panel, designated "1944," discloses the situation as it existed on January 31, 1945.

The last map on the right panel, designated "1945," discloses the situation at the time of the signing of the Instrument of Surrender on September 2, 1945.

(Whereupon, prosecution's exhibits Nos. 12 to 101, inclusive, were marked for identification.)

MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: If the Tribunal please, I offer in evidence all the documents which have been presented to the Court, subject to the conditions contained in the order of the Court on June 4 last, including the maps and charts.

CAPTAIN KLEIMAN: If it please the Tribunal,
I call your Honor's attention to Rule la (3) of the
Rules of Procedure of this Tribunal and request your
Honor to ask the prosecution whether they have complied with this rule concerning the documents which
they have offered in evidence. I ask whether these
documents had been lodged with the Indictment; if so,
whether those which had been lodged with the Indict-

.9

ment had been served on the accused HIRANUMA fourteen days before today. MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: Does the Tribunal desire to hear the prosecution on the point raised? THE PRESIDENT: None of these documents have been lodged with the Indictment, I understand. MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: That is so. THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now recess for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1055, a recess was taken until 1115, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows, English to Japanese and Japanese to Eng-lish interpretation being made by MOTONO, Seiichi and IWAMOTO, Masahito, Hidekazu Hayashi acting as Monitor.) 

A b r a m & M r

1

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed. MR. HORWITZ: In connection with the offering 3 of the map, I wish to make a correction in the statement 4 with respect to the last map on the right panel for the 5 year 1945. I stated that this map showed the situation 6 as it existed on September 2, 1945 just prior to the outbreak of hostilities between the Soviet Union and Japan. MR. FURNESS: Might I inquire on what basis counsel for the prosecution makes the statement that these maps show the situation as it existed on certain dates? Is that a certificate from the Japanese Government? (Whereupon Mr. Horwitz showed a paper to Mr. Furness.) MR. HORWITZ: We have a certificate, which has been made part of the exhibit, certifying to the official dates of these maps as to the time they were prepared. MR. FURNESS: As I understand it, that was prepared at the request of the prosecution for the purpose of this trial. If that is not correct, counsel will say so. If it is so correct, might it so appear

24 25

of record?

MR. HORWITZ: These maps were prepared and

certified pursuant to an order of the Supreme Commander, the order having been issued at the request of the International Prosecution Section.

in connection with the formal tender in evidence of documents and maps, I should like to say a word. It is the desire of the defense so far as possible to avoid multiplying objections to documents and questions in connection with these documents. Owing, however, to a misunderstanding of the Court's ruling concerning objections, the defense counsel find themselves at something less than unanimity in their method of procedure. Speaking, therefore, on behalf of all defendants, I should ask leave to state to the Tribunal our understanding of the effect of the Tribunal's order of the 4th of June in regard to objections to documents, and to ask whether such understanding is correct.

The language of the order in question is as follows: "Each of the accused shall have the right to object to the admission of any document on the ground that it is immaterial or irrelevant, or on any other substantial ground. The admission of these documents will be in all cases subject to all just exceptions and objections." It is the understanding, I believe, of

```
the majority of defense counsel that this language pre-
    serves to us the right to make objections on grounds of
    relevency, meteriality, authenticity, or other grounds
 3
    effecting substantial rights when each such document is
   not introduced in evidence, as is being done this morning
   en masse, but is brought into evidence in connection with
    some phase of the case. Inasmuch as none of us knows at
   this moment which of these documents affects his defend-
   ant and how, if our interpretation of the Tribunal's
   ruling is not correct, our only alternative is to object
   individually and seriatim to each document as it is
11
12
   produced.
13
             MR. JUSTICE MANSFIELD: If the Tribunal
14 please, the understanding of the prosecution is in
   accordance with the idea of the defense, subject to
   the substitution of the word "accuracy" for "authenticity."
             THE PRESIDENT: The majority of the defense
17
18 counsel have correctly interpreted the order of this
19 Court. The word "accuracy" was used in the judgment
   which I drafted and not the word "authenticity." That
21 means, in effect, that it will be quite unnecessary for
   counsel to object from time to time as the document is
22
23 presented to the Court.
24
             BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President --
25
             THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.
```

BRIGADIER NOLAN: (Continuing) and Members of the International Military Tribunal for the Far East: As the counsel presenting a separate phase of the case, it now becomes my responsibility to make an opening statement, describing the constitutional organization of Japan. What I will have to say is not controversial and is based almost in its entirety upon the laws and ordinances which have already been received in evidence in the case. It is hoped that a picture, imperfect though it may be, of the governmental structure of Japan, will not only expedite the proceedings and be of interest to all counsel engaged in the trial, but at the same time will be of assistance to the Tribunal in coming to a conclusion upon some of the issues which will ultimately present themselves for determination.

The officially recognized year of the founding of the Japanese Empire is 660 B.C., and from then until A.D. 645 the government of Japan may be described as tribal.

It was, of course, a primitive from of government and in A.D. 646 the tribal institutions were discarded. During the 7th and 8th Centuries, there grew up a central government, with the capital at KYOTO, consisting of a national counsel of three Imperial Advisers and eight Administrative Departments of State.

Governors were designated by the Emperor to rule the 2 provinces and magistrates for the districts were appointed by the Provincial Governors. The Imperial Family was kept in seclusion in 4 5 KYOTO, and for centuries prior to the MEIJI Restoration in 1867, Shoguns and other military lords ruled Japan. 7 The Tokugawa Shogunate, ruled by fifteen successive Shoguns, lasted from 1603 to 1867. It was an autocratic military government fully centralized and built 10 on a wide basis of feudal functionaries whose duties reach-11 ed to all parts of the Empire. The Emperor reigned ceremonially without governing as he had done for centuries, and the central agency of government was the Counsel of 14 State. 15 The economic causes for the fall of the Tokugawa Shogunate were many. The gradual and steady expansion of the money economy and the growth of the merchant class had the definite effect of undermining feudalism. Moreover, Imperial salaries were largely paid in land and large tax-exempted estates were built up. As a result, the revenues of the central government were reduced to such an extent that the governmental machinery collapsed through malnutrition. 24 The 15th and last Shogun gave up his title without resistance in 1867, the Imperial Government

was proclaimed, and the Restoration under the Emperor Meiji began. The government was moved from Kyoto to Edo in 1868 and Edo was renamed Tokyo or East Capital.

Feudelism was abolished by Imperial Rescript in 1871 and the Reconstruction dates from that year. Important administrative changes soon followed. Many organs of the national government of today were created during that period and some, as will be discussed later, do not operate within the structure of the present Constitution but do so independently of it.

After Japan was opened to Western commerce,
Western political ideas were taken up by many Japanese
and the demand for some form of representative constitutional government became so vehement that it was
considered advisable to have the Emperor issue an
Imperial Decree promising the establishment of a parliamentary system.

The Imperial Decree of 12 October 1881 contained this declaration:

"We shall in the 23rd year of Meiji establish a Parliament... with regard to the limitation upon the Imperial prerogative and the Constitution of the Parliament, we shall decide and make proclamation in due time."

Full understanding of the functioning of

Japanese administration demands the recognition of the fact that although the Imperial Constitution (Teikoku Kempo) is the central legal document of the government, it is certainly not all-governing.

The government of Japan functions under multi-policy forming bodies which are separate creations from the Imperial Constitution. The construction of government is vertical and laws, ordinances and rescripts come down to the subjects. The main difference between a law and an ordinance lies in the fact that a law can make alterations in any of the existing ordinances whereas no ordinace may alter any of the existing laws.

In addition to determined efforts to glean
the best from Western nations to operate a centralized monarchial government, the Japanese early
brought into the Empire all scientific knowledge
which they felt they could use - communication
systems, telegraph, hights, telephones, railroads
and steamships - all were required to enable the
monarchy to cement its position of regained authority.

The work of the Reformists may perhaps be summed up by saying that they created a new monarchial government dressed in western forms.

The result is a scheme of government in

which the basic law or Constitution is essentially Prussian in origin, the structure of the law-making bodies is patterned on those of England, and the system of local government is similar to that of France's Third Republic.

Manhood suffrage, ministerial responsibility, a Privy Gouncil, political parties, a bi-cameral parliament with an aristocratic upper house, the
secret ballot, prefects, mayors, national law codes,
a constitution, trial by jury, administrative courts all of these are features of modern Japanese government, yet none is indigenous to the country.

It should be mentioned at that stage that in Japan the Ordinance power goes far beyond the effectuation of statutes and the delegation of functions, to which the Ordinance power is essentially, though not entirely, restricted in countries like Great Britain and the United States. It accomplishes, under the constitution, a variety of purposes which are, in many western countries, left to the Legislature. It follows rather, the model of the continental European type by which the Executive exercises a limited inherent power of legislation at its own discretion.

This inherent power of legislation by

ordinance in Japan is greater than in any other constitutionally governed state and embraces the power to establish the whole administrative hierarchy, to determine the organization and peace standing of the army and of the navy, to declare war, to make peace and to conclude treaties.

Before discussing the Imperial Constitution itself mention is made of the bodies and offices of administrative power which are "unseen", do not function publicly and are outside the Constitution. These agencies may be termed extra-constitutional, and give vitality to the formal structure created by the Constitution because by force of tradition they are competent to advise the throne.

The functions and membership of these extra-constitutional agencies, if I may use the term, will be fully explored and explained in the second part of this opening. It is perhaps sufficient at the moment to say that their functions transform an otherwise inert document, such as the Constitution, into a highly interesting instrument of administration.

These egencies are:

Imperial Conferences (the Gozen Keigi), which function only under the gravest situations.

The Elder Statesmen (the Genro), whose advice was given upon the direct request of the Emperor and who disappeared in 1940 with the death of Prince Saionji, the last surviving member.

The Senior Statesmen (the JUSHIN), who were late in coming into being and appear to be taking the place of the Cenro in some respects.

Their advice is sought in grave emergencies.

Then there were the Emperor's Military Boards which consisted, in the first place, of the Board of Marshels and Fleet Admirals, which was established in 1898 and consisted of the Princes of the Royal Blood and the highest army and naval officers.

Secondly, the Supreme War Council, which originated in 1887 when the services were being revemped on western lines and was definitely established by Imperial Ordinance No. 294 in 1903.

In addition to those extra constitutional bodies, there are two extra constitutional offices which will be discussed in detail in the second part:

Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal, who is appointed directly by the Emperor, and whose duties are set out in Exhibit 95.

The Minister of the Imperial Household, who is also appointed directly by the Emperor and is charged with the administration of matters relating to the Imperial Household. He is not a member of the Cabinet, and his duties are set out in the Imperial Ordinance marked as Exhibit 94 in the case.

Before discussing the Imperial Constitution itself, I think it will that a word should be mentioned about the conditions under which it was drafted.

In 1884, Prince Hirchumi Ito returned from Germany after two years of study and close association with leading statesmen of the Western world, and particularly Bicmark. Prince Ito's trip abroad was only the last of a series which had begun ten years earlier and of which Iwakura's mission was the most famous. Upon his return, Prince Ito and his assistants began the work of drafting all the preparatory documents leading to constitutional reform.

And there was set up a Commission. This
Commission was attached to the Imperial Household
and not to any other governmental body. In May 1888,
the Privy Council took over the final deliberations
of this Commission and held its meetings in the
Palace with the Emperor present at many of the sessions.

British or American democratic ideas and their concept of power coming from the people could not be adapted to the Japanese system or psychology of those years and in consequence Ito took little from England or from the United States. The unification of Germany by Bismarck on the other hand appealed to the Japanese and the Constitution may be said to owe much to German influences.

The Japanese Constitution itself, which is Exhibit No. 68 in the case, consisting as it does of seventy-six brief articles is shorter than the Constitutions of many other nations. The language is simple; the language is concise. It was promulageted by Imperial Rescript on 11 February 1889.

The law thus provided is a mere skeleton and important laws and ordinances which were promulgated with the Constitution in that year 1889.

They were:

The Law of the Houses;

The Imperial Ordinance concerning the

House of Peers;

The Imperial Ordinance concerning the

Election of Members of the House of Peers;

The Imperial Ordinance concerning the

Cabinet;

1. 2	The Penal Law concerning the infringement
	of Ordinances;
3	The Judicial Court Formation Law;
4	The Court of Administrative Litigation
5	Law; and
6	The Board of Audit Law.
7	
8	
9	
0	
1	
2	
3	
1	
·	
×	

W h 1 a 2 1 e 3 n 4 5 D u 6

Chapter I is devoted to the Emperor. The first articles have reference to the Tenno being sacred and inviolable and that an unbroken line of Emperors will reign over Japan for ages eternal.

The position of the Emperor in relation to the Constitution is stated by Prince Ito in his "Commentaries on the Constitution of the Empire of Japan," at page 2, as follows:

"The Sacred Throne of Japan is inherited from Imperial Ancestors and it is to be bequeathed to posterity; in it reside the power to reign over and govern the State. That express provisions concerning the sovereign power are especially mentioned in the Articles of the Constitution in no wise implies that any newly settled opinion thereon is set forth by the Constitution; on the contrary, the original national policy is by no means changed by it but is more strongly confirmed than ever."

And again, at page 7, Prince Ito says:

"His Imperial Majesty has himself determined the Constitution and has made it a fundamental law, to be observed both by the Sovereign and by the people.

"All the different legislative as well as executive powers of State, by means of which he reigns

over the country and governs the people, are united in this most Exalted Personage, who thus holds in His hands, as it were, all the ramifying threads of the political life of the country, just as the brain, in the human body, is the primitive source of all mental activity manifested through the four limbs and the different parts of the body."

Chapter II of the Constitution is entitled "Rights and Duties of Subjects." Among the rights are freedom of religious belief and of speech, freedom from arrest and protection of property rights. Among the duties are the liability for service in the Army or in the Navy and the liability for the payment of taxes.

Chapter III concerns the Imperial Diet.

Chapter IV deals with the Ministers of State and the Frivy Council.

Chapter V sets forth the organization and jurisdiction of the Judiciary.

Chapter VI determines the financial powers of the Diet and other governmental bodies.

Chapter VII, and last, contains the supplementary rules which provide for amendments to the Imperial House Law, the Constitution and for the continuation of all laws, ordinances and regulations

enacted before the Constitution provided that they were not in conflict, one with the other.

Turning my attention for a moment, if I may, to the organization of the Cabinet:

The Imperial Rescript on the permanent system of the Cabinet Organization was issued in in September, 1889, and is Exhibit No. 70 in the case. The Cabinet in its initial state was instituted in 1885, and the Council of State was then abolished. It is to be observed that except by inference, the Cabinet is not mentioned in the Constitution.

Article LV of the Constitution, however, does provide:

"The respective ministers of State shall give their advice to the Emperor and be responsible for it. All Laws, Imperial Ordinances and Imperial Rescripts of whatever kind, that relate to the affairs of the State, require the countersignature of a Minister of State."

Finisters of State are appointed by the Emperor on the advice of the Prime Minister.

Referring to the creation of the Cabinet, Prince

ITO in his Commentaries, at Page 90, said:

"By the said reorganization, the Ministers of State were made each separately to bear his share of responsibility to the Emperor directly. Over them was placed the Minister President of State. The object of this change was, on the one hand, to give weight to the functions of the Ministers of State and to impress upon them a higher sense of their responsibility and, on the other, to maintain the unity of the Cabinet and to avoid all complications and variances therein."

As to its membership the Cabinet is composed of: The Prime Minister who has specific powers and duties of his own and is appointed by the Emperor on the advice of the Lord Keeper of the Privy Seal.

Thirteen Ministers of State selected by the Prime Minister and appointed by the Emperor; they were the Ministers of State for War, Navy, Home Affairs, Foreign Affairs, Finance, Agriculture and Forestry, Commerce and Industry, Communications, Railways, Education, Justice, Welfare, and Overseas Affairs.

There have been changes made in the number of Ministers which will be referred to later in this opening. And there were such other Ministers without Portfolio, not to exceed four in number, as are provided in Article X of the Cabinet Rescript, being Exhibit 97 in the case. The

office of the Minister without Portfolio has been utilized as a means of contact with economic and political groups such as the Imperial Rule Assistance Association and the Imperial Rule Assistance Political Association which groups will be the subject of further discussion.

Now, as to the Functions: ITO in his Commontaries set forth the intention of the framers of the new Constitution, and at page 93 it says:

"First, that the Ministers of State are Charged with the duty of giving advice to the Emperor, which is their proper function, and that they are not held responsible on His behalf; secondly, that the Ministers are directly responsible to the Emperor and indirectly so to the people; thirdly, that it is the Sovereign and not the people that can decide as to the responsibility of Ministers, because the Sovereign possesses the rights of sovereignty of the State; fourthly, that the responsibility for Ministers is a political one and has no relation to criminal or civil responsibility; nor can it conflict therewith; neither can the one affect the other."

The Cabinet itself is relatively free from popular controls since its members are primarily responsible to the Emperor and only secondarily to the elective branch of the national legislature, the House of Representatives.

Article V of the Cabinet Rescript contains 1 the seven functions of the Cabinet. They are: 2 "I. Drafts of laws, financial estimates and 3 4 settled accounts. 5 II. Treaties with foreign countries and 6 all national questions of importance. 7 III. Ordinances relating to Administration, 8 or to the carrying out of regulations and laws. 9 IV. Disputes connected with the relative 10 competence of Ministers of Departments. 11 V. Petitions from the people, handed down 12 from the throne or submitted by the Imperial Diet. 13 VI. Expenditures apart from the ordinary 14 estimates. 15 VII. The appointment of Chokunin Officials 16 and Prefects and Governors, as well as their promotions 17 and removals. 18 "In addition to the above," the Article goes 19 on to say, "any important matters connected with the 20 duties of Ministers of Departments, and having relation 21 to the higher branches of the Administration, shall also be submitted for deliberation by the Cabinet." 22 23 All of these functions are carried out by the 24 Cabinet under the direction of the Prime Minister. 25 The Prime Minister is of Shinnin Rank, and has

specific powers and functions.

And perhaps it would be appropriate at this time to state the ranks of Japanese officials. They are, first, the Shinnin Rank Officials, those personally appointed by the Emperor; the Chokunin Rank Officials, being those appointed directly by the Emperor on the recommendation of the Prime Minister; the Sonin Rank Officials, those appointed by the Premier with the approval of the Emperor; and the Hannin Rank Officials, those appointed by departmental Ministers.

And so we see that the Prime Minister is of Shinnin Rank. He gives direct advice to the Throne. He coordinates the work of the ministries. He represents the Cabinet in all public affairs. He issues orders for the suspension of any administrative operation or of any order made by a Minister of State. He issues Cabinet Orders. He directs the Metropolitan Police Board of Tokyo, as well as the Prefectural Governors. He appoints Shinto Chief Priests and Sub-Chief Priests who are made sonin in rank.

The Prime Minister also has the power to serve concurrently as a Minister of State, and this, as will be seen, has been done a number of times.

Of the relationship between Prime Minister and Ministers of State, Prince ITO, at Page 94, says:

"The Minister President of State is to make representations to the Emperor on matters of State and to indicate, according to His Pleasure, the general course of the policy of the State, every branch of the administration being under the control of the said Minister. The compass of his duties is large and his responsibility cannot but be proportionately great."

Thus, it will be seen that ITO places the Prime Minister in a position of distinct superiority, responsible for the administration as a whole and empowered to direct it.

The Ministers of State in the Cabinet, except those of the Army and the Navy, are of Shinnin Rank, and as we have seen, are appointed by the Emperor upon recommendation of the Prime Minister.

Each of the Ministers of State has three primary duties to perform: First, to bring matters of his Ministry before the Cabinet through the Prime Minister; to delegate his functions to another Minister should it be necessary; and to validate by his signature all laws, ordinances and rescripts which originate in his Ministry.

ITO made it clear, however, that the responsibility of the Minister for measures did not
lie in the fact that his signature was formally upon
the law but from the fact that he was an adviser to
the Throne.

The Administrative Staff of the Cabinet is divided into a Secretarial and a number of Boards and Bureaus. There are two sections of Boards and Bureaus and a large number of Committees.

The Secretariat itself is presided over by the Chief Secretary of the Cabinet.

Turning my attention for a moment, if I may, to the Boards: There is first the Board of Planning. This Board, which is headed by a President, is one of the most important units of the Cabinet. It was originally created on 14 May 1937 by Imperial Ordinance. That same Ordinance abolished the Board of Investigation which had similar functions. It was reorganized by Imperial Ordinance 192 of October, 1937.

The Board is organically a part of the Cabinet as a section for deciding upon matters of national policy.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan, it is now twelve o'clock. We will adjourn now until thirty

-

```
minutes after one.
  1
                    (Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)
  2
  3
  4
  5
  6
  7
  8
  9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

THE MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, when the Tribunal arose for adjournment, I was discussing the functions of the Board of Planning, and I was about to say that the functions of that Board are to be found in Article I of the Ordinance creating the Board, which Article provides that the Board shall make recommendations, acting on the instructions of the Prime Minister, with pertinent observations, both in regard to important national policies and to their coordination and adjustment. The Board's regular function is to advise the Prime Minister so as to

various ministries.

Another function of the Board is to investigate important policies proposed to the Cabinet by
its Ministers and to make appropriate recommendations.
The policies proposed by the different departments
are referred to the Board which studies them in the

avoid conflicts and to adjust all matters amongst the

light of their importance and investigates them from the point of view of the unity of all national policies. Each Minister knows the requirements of his own department, but the adjustment of those requirements between departments is dealt with by the Board rather than by the Prime Minister alone.

A further function of the Board is to investigate important policies and to study the means of coordinating and adjusting them. The latter constitutes "the permanent and regular function" of the Board. On such matters it does not make its decisions public, but always tenders them to the Premier.

And lestly, it is the function of the Board to make recommendations concerning control over budget estimates on proposed policies.

On any of these matters the Board may call for explanatory data in case such are necessary for carrying out the functions of the Board.

The organization includes:

A president appointed by the Emperor from amongst the Cabinet Ministers;
A vice-president;

Twenty full-time councillors;

Fifteen assistant councillors;

A regular staff of both junior and senior members, together with permanent advisors from the bureau of the various ministries; and

A staff of technical advisers when required;

Under Article III of the Ordinance, each
Ministry is required to have a liaison officer present.

A later change in the organization provided for the appointment of a Director which position was filled by a Minister without Portfolio.

There is also a Legislative Bureau, This
Bureau drafts all bills and ordinances of the government which are to be submitted to the Diet. All
changes by amendment or repeal of laws and ordinances
come to this Bureau, and the President, because of the
work carried on, may attend and speak at Cabinet meetings.

There is also a Decorations Bureau, which is in charge of awards, of decorations, and pensions.

Fourthly, the Manchurian Affairs Board.
This Board is concerned with matters arising in connection with Manchuria and the Kwantung Leased Territory.

7 8

And fifthly, the Board of Information.

This, together with the Board of Planning, constitutes two of the most important Boards of the Cabinet. It is the public relations office of the Cabinet.

In order to centralize the work of public relations for the Government, a Commission of Information was created in July, 1936. This was changed to the Cabinet Information Bureau in September, 1937.

Under the powers granted by the General
Mobilization Law, of which we shall hear more later,
information sections of the various governmental
offices were combined into one. The Bureau of Information of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Bureau
of Information of the Ministry of War, the Publicity
Bureau of the Ministry of the Navy and the Books or
Censorship Section of the Bureau of Public Order in
the Ministry of Home Affairs, were all centralized into
one new Board.

The Ordinance in respect to this clause will be found as Exhibit 72 in the case.

Its organization includes a president, usually a Minister without Portfolio, a vice-president, and fifty-one secretaries, technical advisers and specialists.

Originally, there were five Bureaux whose

3

4

2

5

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

functions related mainly to such matters as the dissemination of information at home and abroad, censorship, and the control of the publicity and broadcasting.

During the war, the Board was further reorganized to control propaganda both at home and abroad. It is divided into three Divisions and collects and disseminates information on national policies, censors all publications in the nation, controls broadcasting, motion pictures and musical and theatrical entertainment.

Sixthly, the China Affairs Board. This Board was established by Imperial General Ordinance 16th of December, 1938, to administer civilian affairs in the occupied areas. The functions of the China Affairs Board of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and of the Ministry of Overseas Affairs were transferred to this new Board.

The direction and administration of all East Asia policies were centered in this Board whose specific duties comprise:

One: Political, economic and cultural problems relating to the China Affair;

Two: The study and investigation of governmental policies to be taken in regard to matters coming under the above category;

Three: Supervision of the operations of the companies established under special laws for the purpose of carrying on business in China as well as the control of the business transactions in China by persons who are so engaged; and

Fourthly: The maintenance of unity in the administrative affairs of the different government offices relating to China.

The important North China Development Company and the Central China Promotion Company are under the control of the Board. Both of these companies administer large activities in China.

The organization of the Bureau is necessarily large, and the President is the Prime Minister ex officio. The Ministers of Finance, Foreign Affairs, War and Navy serve as vice-presidents. The Director-General is the actual administrator. There are fifty officers and sixty clerks to compose the staffs of four bureaux-political, economic, cultural and technical.

There are other Boards, whose administration is routine and headed by a Director, among them being the Statistics, Pension, and Printing.

Now, turning my attention for a moment, if I may, to the Councils which have been set up, there is, first, the Cabinet Advisory Council. This body

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

was created by the Imperial Ordinance of the 15th of Ocboter, 1937, as a consequence of the outbreak of the China Incident in July of that year.

The Ordinance will be found as Exhibit 96 in the case.

The purpose of the Council was to study and have at hand material on domestic and foreign matters brought about particularly by the Incident and to participate in the deliberations and planning of the cabinet in regard to that Incident.

For the first time businessmen and industrialists were combined in high office with the military and diplomats. All members were called councillors, and there were twelve in number.

There was also a Central Economic Council to which the various ministries submitted their economic items, which were referred to the Council by the Prime Minister.

There are, in addition, permanent and temporary committees who do special work for the various bureaux that I have mentioned. The names of the committees suggest their functions and are as follows:

- 1. The Higher Civil Service Committee.
- 11. The Education Investigation Committee.
- iii. The Central Statistics Committee.

1	iv. The Pension Arbitration Committee.
2	v. The Resources Investigation Committee.
3	vi. The Rice and Cereals Investigation
4	Committee; and
5	vii. Temporary Committees.
6	These Temporary Committees are set up from
7	time to time, as required, and deal with such matters
8	as, for example, the correction of election evils.
9	Now, Mr. President, as to the procedure in
10	the cabinet:
11	The meetings are closed and secret and,
12	while formal records of cabinet meetings are main-
13	tained, they are not published.
14	Voting on questions is rare and differences
15	of opinion are either compromised in the cabinet
16	meeting or final decision is left to the Prime
17	Minister, and only one decision or opinion is made
18	public.
19	As in other countries, Cabinets fall in
20	Japan for a number of reasons. Among them are:
21	Opposition from the military; opposition from the
22	House of Peers or House of Representatives; opposition
23	from the Privy Council; defeat in the elections;
24	public opinions and the death of the Prime Minister.
25	However, defeat in election and public opinion have

not been potent causes in bringing about the fall of a cabinet.

There is also what is known in Japanese governmental circles as the Double Cabinet. In this respect the Cabinet of Japan is unusual. The Premier nominates all Ministers except the Minister of War and the Minister of the Navy. These two Ministers are nominated by their respective services. No civilian has ever held the posts. A partial exception occured during the Washington Limitation Conference in 1922 and again in the London Naval Conference in 1930, when the Premier held the post of Minister of the Navy concurrently with his premiership, as was his right so to do.

Prince ITO declared in his report to the Emperor in September of 1891 that only military personnel should be appointed Minister of War and Minister of the Navy in order to maintain Imperial prerogatives as well as military prestige and to place the supreme military and naval command beyond parliamentary interference and party politics.

The Ministers of War and of the Navy as well as the two Chiefs of Staff may report directly to the Emperor concerning matters within the purview of the Imperial Ordinance, high military and naval

officers, respectively. The practice dates from the earliest Cabinet and was embodied in two Imperial Ordinances--No. 193 and No. 194 of 1900 affecting both Ministries, and amended by Imperial Ordinance No. 165 of 1913 for the Ministry of War, and by Imperial Ordinance No. 168 of 1913 for the Ministry of the Navy.

The earlier Ordinances required that a
Minister of War hold at least the rank of LieutenantGeneral, and a Minister of the Navy at least that of
Vice-Admiral, in both cases in active service. The
amendments of 1913 made it possible for a senior
officer on the retired list to be appointed Minister.

In 1936, the regulation was again changed by Imperial Ordinances No. 63 and No. 64 of that year to provide that senior officers in both services eligible for such appointments must be in active service.

The Ordinances to which I have referred are to be found in Exhibit 93 in the case.

As will be seen later, this power of appointment and withdrawal of the Minister of War and of the Navy has enabled the military to prevent, on the one hand, a government being formed, and on the other to bring about its fall after it was formed. It

will be shown that the Supreme Command, when acting within its proper sphere, is supreme over the civil power, and that the right of direct access of the military prevents the Cabinet from acting collectively at all times as a parliamentary body when an important segment: of its function and administration is carved away.

I now turn my attention to the Privy Council.

It is obvious that the framers of the Constitution desired the Privy Council to be a permanent institution. They organized the Cabinet to be a governmental body to function with a personnel of changing statesmen.

They organized the Privy Council to be a permanent governmental institution. Each is policy forming, and each is advisory. The Privy Council also exercises the legis lative function. It is the highest constitutional body of the advisors of the Emperor.

The Privy Council is composed of a President, a vice-president and twenty-five councillors, all of whom are appointed for life by the Emperor and are of shinnin rank. The practice, however, has grown up that the Premier nominates the candidates after consultation with the President or Vice-President of the Council. Councillors must be over forty years of age. They may resign and often do so despite the

\_

 high honour of the office. Should a councillor accept a Cabinet office, he must resign from the Council. Councillors are generally members of the House of Peers.

The Imperial Ordinance of the 8th of October, 1890, which was issued shortly after the Imperial Constitution was promulgated, set out the functions and powers of this high constitutional body. This ordinance changed and clarified the original ordinance of 30 April 1888.

Article VI of the 1890 Ordinance sets out six specific powers of the Privy Council:

I. Matters which are under its jurisdiction according to the provisions of the Koshitsu Tempan, or the Imperial House Law. The Imperial Household which deals with such matters as succession and regencies of the Imperial Household has been marked as Exhibit 69 in the case.

The second function is described as drafts of laws and doubtful points relating to the provisions of the Constitution and laws and ordinances supplementary thereto.

III. Proclamation of martial law under Article XIV and the Imperial Ordinances to be issued under Article VIII and LXX of the Constitution, as

well as all other Imperial Ordinances having penal 1 2 provisions. 3 International treaties and agreements. V. -Matters relating to the amendment of 4 the organization of the Privy Council and the rules 5 6 for the conduct of its business. 7 VI. -Matters specially submitted to its 8 deliberation for advice, in addition to those above 9 mentioned. 10 The Constitution in Article LVI contains 11 specific provision for the Council. It says: 12 "The Privy Councillors shall, in accord-13 ance with the provisions for the organization of the 14 Privy Council, deliberate upon important matters of 15 State, when they have been consulted by the Emperor." 16 In its relation with the Imperial Household, 17 the Privy Council meets with the Imperial Family 18 Council. The internal affairs of the House are not 19 discussed, but only those which concern the relation 20 of the Family with the State--such as the land and 21 property to be included in Imperial Hereditary 22 Estates, the Regency and succession to the Throne.

The relations of the Council to the State

are both executive and legislative. It amends many

of the drafts of new laws and ordinances before they

24

23

by the Diet the advice of the Council may be asked.

In this instance, it does not amend but advises adoption or rejection of the whole issue. The actual power of decision of what is to be submitted to the Council lies with the Cabinet. Moreover, the Cabinet is legally free to secure advice from other offices in cases in which the advice given by the Council is not what is desired. But the political effect of a recommendation of the Council may be a deterrent to the Cabinet to see further advice.

The legislative power of the Council lies in the ratification of treaties in foreign relations. The Cabinet negotiates the treaties and the Council advises the Emperor whether to ratify or reject. The powers of the Council are such that it may cause serious embarrassment to the Cabinet and even wreck it.

In 1927, the Cabinet of Premier Wakatsuki sought to save the Bank of Taiwan (Formosa) by authorizing large advances by the Bank of Japan under government guarantee. The government had obtained legislation supposedly sufficient to protect the banks in the Diet session which had recently closed, but apparently had not gauged the needs of the Bank of Formosa at that time. The Privy Council insisted

that an extra session of the Diet should have been convened and refused its approval on the ground that the measure was unconstitutional. This refusal brought about the fall of the Wakatsuki Cabinet.

The legislative power of the Council by
way of emergency ordinances is used under grave
and dangerous conditions of State. Such ordinances
may be issued whether the Diet is in session or not.
These emergency powers are derived from Articles VIII
and LXX of the Constitution itself.

"Article VIII provides that The Emperor, in consequence of an urgent necessity to maintain public safety or to avert public calamities, or issues, when the Imperial Diet is not sitting, Imperial Ordinances in the place of law. Such Imperial Ordinances are to be laid before the Imperial Diet at its next session, and when the Diet does not approve of the said ordinances, the Government shall declare them to be invalid for the future.

"Article LXX of the Constitution, that when the Imperial Diet cannot be convoked, owing to the external or internal conditions of the country, in case of urgent need for the maintenance of public safety, the Government may take all necessary financial measures by means of an Imperial Ordinance. In the case mention in the preceding clause, the matter shall be submitted to the Imperial Diet at its next session, and its approbation shall be obtained thereto."

Meetings of the Council are held in the Imperial Palace in Tokyo at which the Emperor sometimes attends as an auditor. Decisions are reached by a majority; but the minority members have their votes recorded with explanations.

Non-members who may attend and vote are Princes of Royal blood and Cabinet members. Information is given to the press in some detail, and sometimes even information on the voting appears in the local papers.

Records are kept, and opinions on the issue are sent to the Throne as well as to the Prime Ministers.

There has been a gradual encroachment by the Privy Council over other bodies and, in particular, the Cabinet. No case exists in which the Cabinet has been overfuled by the Privy Council and has then petitioned the Throne for an adoption of the minority opinion. The Emperor would not overrule his highest constitutional body of advisors.

The next topic that I ask permission to discuss is that of the Imperial Diet.

The Imperial Diet, established by the Constitution of 1889, is composed of two chambers—a House of Peers and a House of Representatives.

In defending the adoption of a bicameral system, Prince ITO in his Commentaries says on page 64:

"The aim of a representative system is to draw profit from the results of public deliberations. Now, when all the political forces are united in a single House, and are left to the influence of excited passions and abandoned to one-sided movements, with no restraining and equalizing power over them, that House may in the intemperance of biased excitement overstep the limits of propriety, and, as a consequence, bring about the despotism of the majority, which may in turn lead to anarchy. Evils would be far greater under such a state of things than they were in the days when there was no representative system at all."

Furuya, another Japanese Constitutional Lawyer, writing in 1899 in his Systeme Representatif au Japon, on page 95, says:

"The system of two chambers is absolutely indispensable in Japan, where the feudal regime was abolished scarcely a quarter-century ago."

The Imperial Diet possesses no constitutional

power in the declaration of war, making of peace, conclusion of treaties, recognition of new states and governments or in the appointment of diplomatic and consular officers.

with the gradual development of a parliamentary system, however, the Diet has tended to exert an increasing influence, though indirect and often ineffective, over foreign policies as well as over domestic affairs, through legislation, fiscal control and parliamentary debates. Nevertheless, its powers although extensive are not exclusive; they are secondary, not primary; the Diet is competent to apply checks but cannot initiate policy.

The law of the Houses of the Diet provides for the relations of the two Houses of the Diet to each other and sets out the procedure to be followed in each House.

That law is to be found in Exhibit 81 of the case.

The House of Peers was established by Imperial Ordinance, which is marked as Exhibit 82 in the case, and sets out in Article I the qualifications of membership for this chamber; and they are:

Members of the Imperial Family; Princes and Marquises;

Counts, Viscounts and Barons who have been 1 elected thereto by the members of their respective 2 orders;

Persons who have been especially nominated by the Emperor on account of meritorious service to the State or of erudition; and

Persons who have been elected, one member for each city or prefecture, by and from among the taxpayers of the highest amount of direct national taxes on land, industry or trade therein, and who have afterwards been nominated thereto by the Emperor.

There are in addition certain age limit qualifications. The House is not divided into political parties but rather into groups which are opinion forming. There are approximately four hundred members.

In regard to the powers, it is provided in the Imperial Ordinance which creates it, and which is itself governing law, that it could only be amended by this body itself. That is provided in Article XIII.

Secondly, among its powers, it is consulted by the Emperor on privileges of the nobility. (Article vIII).

Because of its legislative power, it can interfere in the organization of the House of Represent. '

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

etives. Fourthly, it can settle qualifications and election disputes of its own members by reasons of the provisions of Article IX.

A committee system is used to carry on the work of the House as stated in Chapter IV of the Law of the Houses of the Diet. There is a Committee of the whole House and various Standing and Special Committees.

"If the House of Peers fulfills its functions, it will serve in a remarkable degree to preserve an equilibrium between political powers, to restrain the undue influence of political parties, to check the evil tendencies of irresponsible discussions, to seeure the stability of the Constitution, to be an instrument for maintaining harmony between the governing and the governed."

The Constitution in Article XXXV provides that the House of Representatives shall be composed of members elected by the people, according to the provisions of the Law of Elections.

Article I of the Law of Elections requires
the members to be elected from specific electoral
districts. Japan was divided into 119 electoral
districts and a minimum of three and a maximum of

five members are elected from each district for a term of four years. The total number of seats is 466. Formerly, any male subject over 25 years of age had the right to vote, and any male subject over 30 years was eligible to be a candidate. Recently the age qualifications were lowered, and in the election of April 1946, the franchise was extended to women who also became eligible to be candidates.

There are certain restrictions on both franchise and eligibility for candidacy, e.g., bank-ruptcy, the military on active service, and certain government officials. No official can combine his office with membership in the House of Representatives. Cabinet members are one of the exceptions to this rule.

oldber g Y elde

1

2

3

4

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

In the Commentaries at Page 67 Prince ITO reminds members-to-be of the House of Representatives that they are:

"all of them representatives of the whole country...Representatives, therefor, are to speak freely in the House, according to the dictates of their individual consciences, and are not to regard themselves as the delegates only of the people of their respective districts, commissioned to attend merely to matters entrusted to them by their constituents."

I should now like to say a word about the powers of the House of Representatives and certain limitations which are imposed thereon.

In the first place, the Budget is submitted to the House of Representatives before it is debated in the House of Peers.

In 1892 an issue arose between the two houses regarding their respective right in connection with consideration of the Budget. The issue was referred to the Emperor, who referred it to the Privy Council.

The decision set the precedent of equality, It reads:

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

21

22

23

24

25

"With regard to the right of consent to the budget vested in the House of Peers and Representatives respectively, neither house is superior or inferior to the other except in one particular, that, according to the 65th Article of the Constitution, the lower house receives the budget from the government before the upper. Therefore, the house which deliberates subsequently is in no respect bound by the decisions of the house which deliberated previously, and it consequently follows that the restoration of any items which may have been excised by the house previously deliberating falls strictly within the right of revision vested in the house subsequently deliberating. The house subsequently deliberating has only to employ the method indicated by the law of the houses, namely to seek the concurrence of the house previously deliberating."

Which seems to be a rather roundabout way to say that they are both equal.

Two: Because the Law of Elections controls the qualifications for candidates, the chamber has no authority on these matters such as is enjoyed by the House of Peers.

Three: The legislative power of the House of Representatives is not equal to that of the House

cations" can be interrupted and broken through dissolution. In other words, the character of the house is changeable and it has a temporary atmosphere with little solidarity among members as compared to that in the House of Peers. As will be discussed later, all political parties in Japan were dissolved in the year 1940.

May I now just take a moment to compare the powers of the Houses of the Diet.

one: The House of Peers is never dissolved; it is only prorogued. The House of Representatives is not only prorogued concurrently with
the House of Peers at times, but also it is dissolved. Dissolution may be forced upon the House
of Representatives by the government. While this
chamber is in dissolution and its members awaiting
re-election, the House of Peers is only prorogued.
Because many seats may be filled by new members,
a change of policy in this chamber often takes
place.

Two: The political parties of the House of Representatives may be reflected in the Cabinet and the Cabinet actually may be a party cabinet.

But because the Cabinet, as soon as appointed, be-

comes the government and pro facto responsible 1 2 primarily to the Emperor and only to the Diet or 3 to the people secondarily, differences of opinion 4 between the Cabinet and the Diet on questions of

5 policy frequently arise.

> Three: The Cabinet being the government and desiring to test public support in a controversial issue, asks for a rescript to dissolve the House, throws the issue to the people in another election and wins. The process is successful for rarely have the opponents been returned in the same number as they were before. Costs and expenses for re-election rather than simple public opinion account for the defeats in many cases on which fact the government relies. None of these burdens are borne by the House of Peers.

Four: The House of Peers determines its own rules and activities yet can take part in legislative action with the House of Representatives for the organization of the latter body.

Five: And lastly, custom gives the House of Peers prestige by having the opening and joint sessions held in the upper chamber with the President of the House of Peers presiding.

So far as the legislative powers of the

23

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

Diet as a whole are concerned, there are superim-
posed upon it certain constitutional limitations.
(i) It cannot convene voluntarily. It
is convened at the call of the Emperor.
(ii) It cannot initiate Constitutional
amendments since this is the sole prerogative of
the Throne.
(iii) It has no control over the Imperial
dynasty.
(iv) It is confined in activity and scope
by the use of the Ordinance power of the Emperor.
(v) Because of the direct access of the
military to the Emperor, it is isolated on matters of
war although they concern vital matters of Government
and Empire.
(vi) It has little to do with treaties
and major policies in foreign relations. The Con-
stitution is very brief in its treatment of foreign
relations, confining itself to the statement: "The
Emperor declares war, makes peace and concludes
treaties."
ITO, at Page 28, says:
"The principal object of the present
entials is to state that the Emperor shall dis-

pose of all matters relating to foreign intercourse,

with the advice of His Ministers but allowing no interference by the Diet therein."

(vii) Again, it has no control over additions in territory to the Empire. Taiwan became a part of the Empire by treaty after the Sino-Japanese war of 1895 and Karafuto by treaty after the Russo-Japanese war of 1904-5. Chosen was annexed by treaty in 1910. None of these territorial acquisitions received legislative approval by the Diet.

the introduction of bills into the Diet. Members have the right to introduce bills and they do but the mortality of such bills is severe. Actually no legislation has been submitted by a private member since political parties were eliminated. It is now customary for the Diet to legalize all measures presented to it by the Cabinet and then to retire. Normally a bill is read three times in the Diet before it becomes law. The procedure in this regard is to be found in Article XXVII of the Law of the Houses of the Diet, which provides:

"A project of law shall be voted upon, after it has passed through three readings. But the process of three readings may be omitted, when such

4 5

a course is demanded by the government and by not less than 10 members, and agreed to by a majority of not less than 2/3 of the members present in the house."

The legislative power of the Diet may perhaps be summed up best in the words of ITO at Page 10. He says:

"The Legislative Power is ultimately under the control of the Emperor, while the duty of the Diet is to give advice and consent."

I now desire to turn my attention for a moment or two to the financial powers but dealing first with the question of the budget. It is provided by the Constitution that "the expenditure and revenue of the state require the consent of the Imperial Diet by means of an annual budget."

The entire budget, which takes the form of an appropriation bill, is voted upon by both houses of the Diet, but there are important categories of items which may not be altered by the Diet without the concurrence of the government.

This means that the Diet must accept such items unless it can persuade the ministry to modify or delete them. It does not carry the inference

that the Diet can establish any items of the budget without the concurrence of the government, but rather, that there are some items which the government may not establish without the concurrence of the Diet.

The Excepted Items of the Budget are:

One: The expenses of the Imperial household, which are paid each year out of the National
Treasury.

Two: The expenses "based by the Constitution upon the powers appertaining to the Emperor" (Article LXVII of the Constitution) among which are -- that is provided under Article 67 of the Constitution:

- (I) Salaries of military and civil officers and pensions of civil officers.
- (II) Expenses of army, navy, gendarme and colonial militia.
- (III) Expenses arising from treaties with foreign countries.

Under the limitations of this clause the Diet is powerless to withdraw appropriations once agreed to - or which were in effect before the Diet was established for the conduct of a Ministry or any

division thereof. It is not, however, restrained from effective disapproval of appropriations entailed by new ordinances, as, for example, an item for the creation of a new ministry or other agency.

The next among the excepted items are:

Three: Expenses authorized by Article

LXVIII of the Constitution which reads "in order

to meet special requirements, the government may

ask the consent of the Imperial Diet to a certain

amount as a continuing expenditure fund for a pre
viously fixed number of years." Once these funds

are voted, no changes are made. The total is

divided and allocated to each year and hence is

part of that year's budget regardless of whether the

Diet is not in session through having been dissolved

or whether the budget is passed. Examples of special

accounts which may be cited are:

- (I) The Sino-Japanese war of 1894-95 when the fund continued over sixteen months.
- (II) The Russo-Japanese War of 1904-5 when the fund continued over three years.
- (III) The World War I when the fund continued for eleven years.

The fourth class of excepted expenses are . those which may have arisen "by the effect of law,"

that is to say, expenses of the houses of the Diet, annual allowances to the members, pensions, annuities, expenses and salaries required by the organization of offices determined by law and other expenses of a like nature.

Items in this category may be altered only by the modification of the statutes authorizing them. In such cases the Diet will have had an opportunity to debate the principles involved in the authorizing act, but it is not free to repudiate its decision by refusing the necessary items in the budget.

The next class of excepted expenses are those which pertain "to the legal obligations of the government." These include the interest on the national debt, redemption of the same, subsidies or guarantees to companies, expenses necessitated by the civil obligations of the government and compensation of all kinds. Funds to maintain temples, to provide agreed sums to subsidize navigation companies, railways and schools and to pay damage, interest and rentals fall within this class.

Uchiiki and Sakamoto in their article, "The Budget System of Japan" at Page 23 have this to say:

. "The total amount of the above funds 1 (what are called here the excepted categories) is 2 3 usually about two-thirds of the total expenditures 4 of the budget, and if we add to this the continuing 5 appropriations, a very small amount is left for con-6 sideration in the Diet. It is only in case these 7 permanent expenses are to be increased that the 8 consent of the Diet is necessary. Thus the scope

> The Constitution itself in Article 64 provides that:

of the vote in the Imperial Diet is very limited;

but nevertheless there are sharp debates on the bill.

"any and all expenitures overpassing the appropriations set forth in the titles and paragraphs of the budget, or that are not provided for in the budget shall subsequently require the approbation of the Imperial Diet."

This Article is supplemented by amother (Article 69) under which:

"in order to supply deficiencies which are unavoidable in the budget and to meet requirements unprovided for in the same, a reserve fund shall be provided in the budget."

Thus it will be seen that the Diet endows

24

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

the government with blanket power to employ the reserve fund in its discretion, but it is entitled to a report upon such expenditures at a subsequent session. At that time it may refuse to approve them; however such refusal will not invalidate the acts of the government nor the obligations which it is under as a result of its act. The Diet may fail, -- frequently it has failed -- to vote the budget. In that event the device of Bismarck, authorized by the Constitution in Article 71 is called into play, and the government, theoretically carries out the budget of the preceding year -- theoretically only, however, since it employs deficiency appropriations to a continually increasing extent to increase the old budget. It may not, however, apply an appropriation for any object other than that prescribed in the budget; nor are the Ministers of State permitted to interchange the amounts of the appropriation in each section, one for the other (Law of Finance Article 12).

It is apparent that when the budget, due to dissolutions, is that originally prepared for the preceding year, there will be need for many deficiency appropriations.

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

dovernments formerly dreaded a dissolution before the budget was passed, but because deficiency appropriations, which are supposed to be used only in emergencies, have become a usual mode of procedure, governments are not now dismayed at the prospect of its budget being thrown out. Once an expenditure is made, the opposition is likely to be half-hearted, and in this way the control of the Diet is still further diminished.

So much for the budget.

May I now turn to the tax power of the Diet as derived from Articles LXII, LXIII and LXIV of the Constitution.

Special tax laws are submitted to the Diet. They are separate from the budget or appropriation bills. While new taxes require the sanction of the Diet, such tax laws are not part of the budget; the government is not dependent upon annual debate or discussion for renewals and its revenues go on being regularly collected.

Floating of Loans is provided for in Article LXII of the Constitution which provided that the consent of the Diet to such loans is required.

(iv) Emergency Ordinances.

The First and Second Reserve Funds established by Article 7 of the Law of Finance and provided for by Article LXIX of the Constitution may not be sufficient to finance unexpected catastrophes or the emergencies of war. Moreover, neither time nor public safety may exist for an extraordinary session of the Diet. When these two grave situations occur, the government is authorized under Article LXX of the Constitution to take "...all necessary financial measures by means of an Imperial Ordinance."

Now funds received in this manner have to be sanctioned at the next regular session of the Diet, and the Ordinance must be withdrawn if disapproved by the Diet. But non-approval does not affect the past operation of the ordinance.

The power of the Privy Council in regard to such emergency ordinances is important, as that body must be consulted whenever such ordinances are to be issued. It may be said that the Privy Council has consistently opposed the practice of issuing emergency ordinances which would have further restricted the already limited financial powers of the Diet.

I mentioned earlier the Board of Audit.

This Board is a separate administrative body created under Article LXII of the Constitution which provides that:

"The final account of the expenditures and revenues of the State shall be verified and confirmed by the Board of Audit....."

The President of the Board is appointed directly by the Emperor after advice and deliberations with the Prime Minister. He is not a Cabinet Minister, but is on an equal footing with a Minister of State.

The above-mentioned Article further provides that the final account "shall be submitted by the government to the Imperial Diet, together with the report of verification of said Board."

The Diet is enabled in this way to check the account against the budget and to demand explanation of variances between them.

This approval is not attended with legal consequences but the Diet may vote lack of confidence or submit an address of criticism to the Emperor.

I now come to a discussion of the Min-: istries of State.

16'

These Ministries have been established by both laws and ordinances. The basic legal form of the Ministries was determined at the time of the promulagtion of the Constitution in 1889. Amendments through ordinances and laws have altered, added or abolished Ministries and their bureaux, sections and personnel.

The law providing for the Ministries of State is Exhibit 73 in the case.

So far as the general structure of l'inistries is concerned, it may be said that the Constitutional powers of the Ministers of State are
derived from the Preamble to the Constitution and
from Articles LV and LIV.

The Preamble to the Constitution charges that "Our Ministers of State on our behalf, shall be held responsible for the carrying out of the present Constitution..."

Article LV of the Constitution itself provides "they shall give their advice to the

Emperor and be responsible for it." By the same
Article the signature of the kinister is recuired
on "All laws, Imperial Ordinances and Imperial Rescripts of whatever kind, that relate to the affairs
of the State..."

Article LIV is permissive in that Ministers and their delegates "may, at any time, take seats and speak in either House."

Concerning the Ministers of State, Frince
ITO wrote on Fage 94 of his Commentaries: "They
are severally held responsible for the matters within their respective competency.... there is no
joint responsibility among them in regard to such
matters."

Was "controlled by the will of the Emperor" and that the danger in creating a Cabinet with joint responsibility was the growth of "party combination" which, it was feared "would ultimately overrule the supreme poser of the Sovereign." Yet he recognized that there were important matters of concern to all the Ministers, that regarding them the Premier would naturally consult with the Ministers and that "in such matters it would of course be proper for the Cabinet to assume joint responsibility."

-

Thus, I submit, it may be said that ITO contemplated individual responsibility for Cabinet Ministers for matters pertaining to their respective departments, joint responsibility for general matters, and responsibility of the Premier for both types of decisions.

OZAKI, in his publication "The Voice of Japanese Democracy," pages 63 and 64, states the Japanese principle of responsibility as follows:

"For acts done by the head of a department of state of his own volition and not by virture of resolutions passed in the Cabinet, the Minister concerned alone is responsible, while for acts done pursuant of resolutions passed in the Cabinet, all the Ministers are conjointly responsible."

The specific powers of the Ministers of State may perhaps be summarized in part by saying that:

(i) They are with minor exceptions in command of their special field of government for the whole Empire.

A 1 b r 2 a m 3 & 4 M 5

r 6 s 7

They issue ordinances for the carrying out of their functions and duties. They issue directions to the Kenchiji or Governors in their respective branches of government. They supervise all public relations and in person represent the Ministry and staff in public gatherings. They, with their vice ministers, control the tenure of the senin rank officials. They appoint and remove all hennin rank and lower rank officials. They have the dual function in the Cabinet of being not only Cabinet statesmen to formulate and carry cut a national policy but also of being lobbyists for their own ministries.

Ministers, as we have seen, are appointed by the Emperor upon the recommendation of the Prime Minister.

Party affiliations may or may not be borne out in the selection of the Ministers. A political party may have a majority in the Diet but the colour of the Cabinet may be quite different. Victory of a party at the polls does not mean control of the affairs of State or dominance in the Cabinet. These two possible situations are sharp limitations upon the power of the democratic ballot.

For most Ministers, tenure in office is only for the term of one Cabinet. However, some have been

continued in office consecutively for two or three Cabinets and some have been recalled after having gone out of office.

3

4

5

Concurrent office holding has been frequent in recent years. The permanent Vice Minister does not step up to fill a temporary vacancy as is the practice in some countries.

7

8

9

6

This Permanent Vice Minister, known as the Jikan, has his powers usually defined in the ordinances as the executive assistant to the Minister whose duties are to regulate the business of the Ministry

10

11

and to supervise the operations of the bureaux.

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

The Vice Minister is appointed indirectly by the Emperor through the Minister and while his tenure of office was contemplated as being of long duration so that continuity in ministerial policy could be maintained regardless of change in the office of Minister, actually in some ministries the Vice Minister has gone out of office almost as frequently as the Cabinet Ministers, for the simple reason that the Vice Minister has found it difficult to work with the New Minister.

There is also a Parliamentary Vice Minister known as the Seimujikan. This officer frequently appears before the committees of both chambers to make explanations and to present information furnished by

7 8

 his Ministry. More frequently than not he is a member of the Diet and as such maintains a dual position.

This appointment to office is made by the Prime Minister on the nomination of the Minister.

There is also a Parliamentary Councillor.

The functions and duties of this officer are similar to those of the Parliamentary Vice Minister as is his tenure of office.

Then there is the Secretariat, which is the administrative staff for the entire Ministry, and the Bureaux. They are the main administrative units of the Ministries.

The Committees. Committees are appointed for permanent and temporary purposes. They may be executive, advisory or investigative. And the Boards which are definite supplementary agencies for administration purposes.

As I said before, until recently there were thirteen Executive Ministers of State.

The Ministry of the Imperial Household is actually an additional Ministry but because it was separated legally in 1884 from the executive Ministries of State with specially created functions to serve only the throne, it is not a part of the

executive machinery of State.

In 1942 a new Ministry of Greater East
Asia, which shall be mentioned later, was established.
In substance this new organization removed all
matters which dealt with the Greater East Asia
Co-Prosperity Sphere from the Foreign Office.

In 1943 the Ministry of Overseas Affairs was eliminated and its functions, together with the amalgamation of four other Ministries, resulted in the creation of three new ones. The Ministry of Commerce and Industry, the Ministry of Communications, the Ministry of Railways and the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry gave way to the creation of a Munitions Ministry, a Transportation and Communications Ministry and a Ministry of Agriculture and Commerce. Something more will be said about these new ministries when we come to consider wartime changes.

Taking the ministries in order, may I begin with the Ministry of War. As has been said earlier Prince Ito declared in his report to the Emperor in September 1891 that only military personnel should be appointed Minster of War and Minister of the Navy in order to maintain Imperial prerogatives as well as military prestige and to place the supreme

military and naval command beyond parliamentary interference and party politics. Mention has also been made of the various ordinances requiring the Minister of War and of the Navy to be senior officers on the active list.

Speaking now of the specific duties of this ministry, because many important policies of the Army are not determined in the Ministry but go to the Emperor directly the Minister does not carry on the type of policy conference which exists in other Ministries. The Ministry may be described as the administrative machinery of the Army.

Almost all of the Ministers of War have
been outstanding figures in the Imperial Army.

They have been graduates of the Military Academy
and have been military attaches, divisional commanders,
chiefs of staff of armies and members of the Supreme
Military Council.

The Ministry has eight bureaux to carry on its administration. Normally each is headed by a Lieutenant General or Colonel.

The first of these bureaux is the Personnel Bureau, which is divided into two sections. The first is the Appointment Section, which deals with appointment, promotion, assignment, retirement,

wartime duties of personn el in the Ministry -military and civilian -- and personal records. And
the second section is the Pension and Decorations
Section, which has the name Onsho Ka, and which deals
with pensions, decorations, furloughs, and marriages.

The second bureau of this Ministry is the Military Affairs Bureau or Cummu Kyoku, and much will be heard of this particular bureau as this case unfolds before the Tribunal. Sufficient for my purpose at this time to say that this Military Administration Section deals with the fundamental principles of national defense, matters concerning the armament of the Army and other general administration of the Army, the establishment, peacetime organization and equipment of the Army, the principles of guarding against danger, defence, mobilization of the army and man power, the general control of the military estimates, fundamental munitional administration, the control of the duties of the air service and other matters connected with aviation, menoeuvers and inspection, allotment of units and corps, various regulations in wortime, and resident-officers in foreign countries, officers studying abroad, and the ruling of the Army Research Committee.

The second section of this bureau is

25

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

known as the Military Affairs Section. It deals with matters concerning general affairs of the national defense policy, international regulations, foreign officers attached to army units, general affairs of national demobilization, army affairs of Manchuria and China and orders concerned with them, army affairs of foreign countries except Manchuria and China, matters concerning connection affairs with Imperial Diet, matters concerning popularization of national defense spirit and counterplan for nation's thoughts, and matters concerning control and direction of parties doing research work for military affairs.

There is a third bureau known as the Military
Service Bureau or the Heimu Kyoku, which is also
divided into several sections, the first of which is the
Military Service Section, or the Heimu Ka. The ordinance
which sets it up provides that the duties of this
section shall concern itself with the various armies
and branches of the service exclusive of the gendarmerie
and the air force, with training and duties of members
of military bands, training manuals, discipline and
punishment, internal duties, military ceremonies, etiquette, insignias, parade grounds, rifle ranges, and
the military training of students and youth.

The second, the War Preparations Section, as it existed before the war, had for its duties matters relating to military service, recruitment and replacement of officers and men, military mobilization, muster, mobilization in general, requisitions, matters pertaining to ex-servicemen.

The Defense Section deals with such matters as Military Police, spy protection, air defense, guarding and safety precautions, garrison duty, construction and complement of fortifications and lands used for national defense. And it is also concerned with those laws which are applied to fortified zones, military management laws applied to army transport

areas, and with domain and city planning.

The other bureaus, namely, the Military Preparations Bureau, Ordnance Bureau, Bureau of Intendence, and Medical Bureau, are what their names would indicate them to be.

There was, in addition, a Legal Bureau and an Army Press Section.

The Army Press Section was the public relations office for the Ministry and the Army. So important to the Army was its propaganda and official releases that a Major General has been in command of the section. Since the formation of the Bureau of Information under the Cabinet, important announcements and information have not been given out through the Army Press Section.

To complete the Army organization, attention is drawn to the following offices and institutions which are not a part of the Ministry of War, nor under its supervision.

As has been stated under the powers of the Emperor, all matters of organization, mobilization and operation are his personal commands and are not a part of the Ministry of War.

The Army by taking the initiative on the battlefield can present the Foreign Office with a fait

accompli. This leaves its diplomats no alternative other than to accept the existing situation. As has been said the Army acts and the Foreign Office explains.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"The exercise of the right of warfare in the field --- as the exigency of circumstances may require, may be entrusted to the commanding officer of the place, who is allowed to take actual steps his

ITO says of this power, at page 29,

discretion dictates, and then to report to the government. This is to be regarded as a delegation of sovereign power of the Emperor to a general in com-

mand of an army in order to meet the stress of emergencies ---"

Nakane in his publication entitled "Ordinance Power of the Emperor" wrote, at page 155:

"Though the declaration of war and conclusion of peace are placed under Cabinet control, the general staffs having the supreme command of the armed forces, could force the declaration of war and the conclusion of peace by sending an aggressive expedition against a foreign power or withdrawing troops from the field."

THE PRESIDENT: You may be a bit tired,
Mr. Brigadier. If so, you will appreciate the mid-

afternoon recess. We will recess now for fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceed-ings were resumed as follows:) 

D 1 d 2

a 3 &

W n 5 1 6

n 7

9

8

10 11

12

14

13

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

24 25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The Tribunal is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: May it please the Tribunal, when the Tribunal adjourned I was about to make some mention of the organization of the General Staff, which is known as the Sambo Hombo. The Ordinance which provides for its organization will be found in Exhibit 78 in the case.

The Chief of the General Staff is concerned with the broad phases of military strategy, planning and mobilization. The Regulations of the General Staff Office provide that a general or lieutenantgeneral be appointed by the Emperor to the post of Chief of the General Staff, and is placed under the direct command of the Emperor, attends the War Council, takes charge of the formation of plans for national defense and strategy and supervises the General Staff Office. He is assisted in his duties by a Vice Chief of the General Staff and Staff Members.

Attached to and under the jurisdiction of the General Staff are the following institutions: The General Staff College, which is some-

times called the Army War College; and

The Army Land Survey Department.

The Chief of the General Staff, as has been seen, is appointed by the Emperor.

There are many Bureaus, the first being the General Affairs Bureau which is concerned with Personnel Affairs, Organization and Mobilization Section; Secondly, Operations Bureau, which is concerned with Operations and War Plans Section, Fortresses Section, and Maneuvers Section; thirdly, Intelligence Bureau, American and European Section, Asiatic Section, Secret Service Section; fourth, Transport and Communications Bureau, which is concerned with matters of Communications (wireless and wire) Section, Transport, both by land and sea; and fifth, the Historical Bureau, which is concerned with wars in which Japan took part and wars in which Japan did not take part.

Then there is another important post in the Army hierarchy known as the Inspector General of Military Training.

Now, the office of the Inspector General of

Military Training is responsible for all technical and tactical training of the separate arms. Briefly, one might say that the Minister of War administers, the Inspector General trains, and the Chief of the

General Staff employs the Army both in maneuvers and

in battle. To the Inspector General of Military
Training chiefly falls the important task of building the national esprit de corps known in the Japanese
Army as "spiritual mobilization."

Military schools are under the jurisdiction of the Inspector General's office, together with a number of inspectorates such as the artillery, engineering, transport, cavalry, chemical warfare and communications.

Then, there is another Inspector General, the Inspector General of Military Aviation.

The Inspector General of Military Aviation is directly responsible to the Emperor for matters pertaining to air training, while in other respects he is subordinate to the Big Three -- the expression is not my own; it is a Japanese expression -- the Big Three being the Chief of General Staff, the Minister of War, and the Inspector General of Military Training.

The Inspector General of Aviation is responsible to the War Minister in matters pertaining to personnel and military administration, and is responsible for operations to the Chief of the General Staff.

Then there is an Army Aviation Headquarters,

which is a subordinate agency under the Ministry of War, and its principle functions appear to be largely procurement and supply.

Then there is the organization of the Japanese Military Police, the Kempei. The Military Police form a branch of the Army under the Provost Marshal General, who is responsible to the Minister of War. They, too, are divided in their organization into sections: The General Affairs Section, concerned with policy, personnel, discipline, records, and the control of thought in the Armed Forces; the Service Section, which has three main functions, the supply, organization and training of police units, the security, and the counter-espionage.

So far as their duties are concerned, the military police take orders from different authorities according to the areas in which they are stationed.

In Japan, during peacetime, the police are responsible to the Minister of Wer for their normal military duties, to the Minister of Home Affairs insofar as they assist the civil police and to the Minister of Justice for duties connected with the administration of the law.

In Manchuria, Korea and Formosa, although they were primarily responsible to the Commanders

3

4

1

2

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

in Ch	ief, they might also be called upon to assist
	ocal civilian authorities.
	In all areas their broad duties are:
	The surveillance of military discipline,
	the enforcement of security,
	the execution of conscription laws, and
	the detection of crime amongst soldiers.
	In combat areas they are, in addition to
their	ordinary duties, concerned with such matters
as	
	the detection and arrest of fifth column-
	ists and
	the suppression of subversive activities.

In the Pacific area they were responsible for pacifying hostile natives, for settling disputes between natives and Japanese soldiers, for requisitioning native foods and supplies, for the recruitment of native labor, and for the organization of native spies operating behind allied lines.

In New Guinea, according to reports, the military police were given charge of a native force both for reconnaissance and in order to harass the enemy.

Then, in the Army hierarchy are the Supreme Command Organs. We have already mentioned the Board of Field Marshals and Fleet Admirals. We have already mentioned the Supreme War Council. But there is, in addition, the Wartime Imperial Headquarters.

The present Imperial Headquarters was formed in 1937 when it became apparent that the China Incident was developing into a war of major proportions. Its purpose is to assume supreme military command with the general staff as its nucleus. In other words, it places the Supreme Command on a a war-time basis. Its membership is essentially the same as the Supreme War Council, with the exception of the Board of Fleet Admirals and Field

\_

7 8

Marshals, who are not members. The Emperor, as Supreme Commander-in-Chief, is the head of the Imperial Headquarters.

And perhaps it would be appropriate, in dealing with Army matters, to say a word about the administration of prisoners of war.

By Imperial Ordinance, exhibit 92 in the case, No. 1182, of 23 December 1941, prisoner of war camps were placed under the jurisdiction of the Minister of War. The Ordinance provided that they were to be administered by a Commander of an Army or a Commander of a Garrison under the general supervision of the Minister of War.

The Prisoner of War Information Bureau was organized by Imperial Ordinance No. 1246 of 27 December 1941 and placed under the control of the Minister of War. Article I of the Ordinance provided that the Bureau shall be charged with the responsibility of the following matters:

One: The investigation of internments, removals, releases on parole, exchanges, escapes, admissions into hospitals, deaths of prisoners of war and the maintenance of records for each prisoner.

Two: The communications, correspondence, and information regarding the conditions of the

prisoners of war.

Three: The custody and the transmission to families and other persons interested of objects, articles and wills of the prisoners of war who are released on parole, exchanged, or those who died at hospitals, medical dressing stations, or prisoner of war camps.

Four: The forwarding of gifts to prisoners of war and of money, objects, and articles sent by or to the prisoners of war.

Five: Information obtained by the army or the navy from those killed or slain in battle, the handling of their objects, and wills and of objects found in the field of battle.

Six: Investigations concerning persons
who are prisoners of war in enemy countries and the
facilitation of communcations between those prisoners of war and their families residing in the empire.

The Regulations for the Treatment of Prisoners of War (being the War Ministry Notification Asia, Confidential No. 1034, dated the 31st of March, 1942) provided by Article II that a Prisoner of War Administration Division be established in the Ministry of War for the conduct of all affairs relative to the treatment of prisoners of war, and of

1 2

civilian internees in the theater of war.

Provision was also made in the Regulations for the appointment of a Division Head with the rank of Lieutenant General or Major General, and staff members with the rank of Field or Company grade officers. Article IV provided that the Division Head shall administer the affairs of the division under the orders of the Minister of War and the staff members shall carry out their duties under the orders of the Division Head.

The new division dealt with such matters as the supervision, exchange, liberation, punishment, utilization and treatment of prisoners of war.

Now, Mr. President, in regard to complaints regarding prisoners of war, for about a year after the outbreak of the war, complaints from the Protecting Power relating to the treatment of prisoners of war held by the Japanese were received by the Treaty Bureau of the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and forwarded to the Prisoner of War Information Bureau in the War Ministry.

The replies, if any, to the Protecting Power were based on the replies received from the War Ministry.

Subsequently, the Service Bureau of the

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Ministry of Foreign Affairs was established and the prisoners of war matters formerly dealt with in the Treaty Bureaus were transferred to the new Service Bureau.

Turning our attention, now, if I may, to
the Ministry of the Navy, this Ministry was created
in 1872, when the Ministry of War was separated into
two Ministries. It was established more formally
in 1885 and 1889 and with some later amendments
remains in that structure. Its organization may be
seen in the Imperial Ordinance marked as exhibit 75
in the case. The Navy itself follows the British
pattern of organization.

As to the specific duties of the Minister of the Navy, it is fair to say the important questions of policy are decided by the Chief of Staff directly with the Throne. Details of administration are the major concern of the Ministry.

The Ministers of the Navy have been distinguished men and have been graduates of the Naval Academy. They have been commanders of fleets and squadrons and have held other high offices. Many of the Ministers have continued in office from two to three Cabinets.

The Minister of the Navy has not appeared

before the Diet any more often than the Minister of War which has been infrequent.

The Ministry has eight bureaux for its administration. Normally each is headed by a Rear Admiral or Captain. They are: Naval Affairs Bureau, Personnel Bureau, Education Bureau, Munitions Bureau, Medical Bureau, Intendance Bureau, Armaments Bureau and Legal Bureau.

The Naval Affairs Bureau, which is the most important and of which much will be heard later, is divided into sections:

Section I deals with:

Matters concerning naval armaments and other matters concerning naval administration in general;

The construction and organization of, and service abroad or in warships, units, offices and schools;

The organization and service of warships and units;

Naval discipline and morale; and

Naval maneuvers, Naval reviews, ceremonies,
etiquette, uniforms and flags, warships and weapons
of war and other munitions in general, guarding and
defense.

ě

'

. .

The second section deals with matters concerning national defense policy, international conventions and personnel dispatched abroad.

The third section deals with matters concerning the use of engines, construction aboard warships, and the maintenance and preservation of warships.

The fourth and last section deals with matters concerning the dissemination of consciousness relating to national defense, and matters concerning the guidance of organization connected with naval affairs.

Wherever it has been possible, Mr. President, we have produced for the Tribunal the English translation as furnished to us by the Japanese Government of these various Ordinances.

There is a Naval Affairs Dissemination

Department which is charged with the planning and execution of naval publicity. Like other public relations offices of the Ministries, this Department has had its main function absorbed by the Bureau of Information of the Cabinet.

The Chief of the Naval General Staff. It is provided by the ordinance creating this office that the Naval General Staff Office shall take charge

of all matters concerning national defense and the use of armed strength. The Ordinance is exhibit 79 in the case.

The Ordinance further provides that there shall be a Chief of the Naval General Staff office who shall be personally appointed by the Emperor, who shall be directly subordinate to the Emperor, take part in the confidential affairs of the Emperor's headquarters, and for control of the Naval General Staff office.

Provision is also made for the appointment of a Vice Chief who shall assist the Chief, supervise the Division and coordinate the affairs of the Division.

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs in the Japanese Government is more popularly known as the Foreign Office. The Office of Minister of Foreign Affairs was not established formally until 23 December 1885, and its present organization under Imperial Ordinance is to be found in exhibit 76 in the case.

In addition to the general duties of the Minister as set out in the general section of the ministries, the Minister of Foreign Affairs under Article I of the Basic Ordinance is charged with the duties of:

"the execution of affairs relating to foreign countries, the protection of trade and commerce, and matters relating to Japanese abroad, and shall supervise and control all diplomatic and con-

It is provided in the Basic Ordinance that
"The Minister of Foreign Affairs shall
direct and superivse the Japanese Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary to Manchoukuo in regard
to those affairs of the Kwantung Bureau which pertain
to external matters."

At the opening of the Diet the Minister of Foreign Affairs makes an formal address. The Prime Minister informs the Diet as to Empire affairs generally, and the Minister of Foreign Affairs informs the members with regard to international agreements, commitments and treaties.

By reason of their long training and their great experience, the Ministers of Foreign Affairs have been powerful figures in the Cabinet and it is an office which has often led to the post of Prime Minister.

sular offices."

Barton & Smith

As whief executive of the Ministry, the Minister develops the foreign policy. Information necessary for planning the national program is obtained by the Bureaux of the Ministry and is formulated in the deliberations of the joint conferences. The Minister presides at these Conferences whose members include the Vice Minister and the Bureau Chiefs.

Under Article LV of the Ordinance establishing this Ministry, the bureaux are set up geographically and functionally.

First is the "Geographic Bureaux. The East Asia Bureau composed of three sections is confined to China, Hongkong and Macao. The duties include negotiations and revision of treaties.

The Europe and Asia Bureau -- the duties of this bureau are the same as those set out above but pertain only to America,

Then there has been the South Seas Bue reau, which has charge of diplomatic affairs concerning Thailand, the Philippiner Islands, Indo China, Burma, Malaya, North Borneo, the East Indies, Australia, New Zealand, the other Oceanic Islands and the Antartic region.

The Functional Bureaux are in two parts, a Bureau of Treaties and Convention. The functions of this bureau are set out in Article VIII and comprise drafting and interpreting treaties, dealing with extraterritorial rights and the administration of all other foreign legal affairs, such as the world conferences and the League of Nations.

Under the Department of Ministry, to be found in Article IX, is the Intelligence Department. Article IX creates this department as the public relations office for the Ministry. Until the creation of the Cabinet Bureau of Information in September 1937, in which all agencies giving out governmental information were centralized, the Intelligence Department was the centre from which information on all diplomatic affairs emanated.

The departmental chief gave daily interviews to international newspaper correspondents and the local press.

Direct interviews with the Minister himself were not a common practice in Japan with either the foreign or local press. Foreign correspondents often wrote short statements, submitted them to the Minister for his signature and for his ce and and then williamed there.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

consent and then published them.

Direct censorship of the press was not a function of this Ministry but it did issue short prohibitive statements to the correspondents from time to time regarding its own news items.

Another department of this Ministry was the Cultural Undertakings Department. This department concentrated its work entirely on cultural activities in China. The first attempt to organize propaganda for China originated in this department. The volume and variety grew to large proportions. The Department was later absorbed by the Ministry of Greater East Asia.

The Secretariat is divided into six sections and consists of a large staff, the sections being: The Personnel Section, The Protocol Section, the Documents Section, the Accounts Section, The Translation Section and the Telegraphic Section.

The Foreign Service was one of the great innovations made in Japan following the Restoration. The members of the staff increased from 34 in 1871 to over 1000 in the early thirties. The training of the officials, both diplomatic and consular, is minute in law, international relations,

social institutions and languages.

The Ministry of Finance was one of the original Ministries created at the time of the promulgation of the Constitution.

By Imperial Ordinance No. 209, being the Law of Finance, 1893, the Ministry is required to "oversee all financial affairs of the State, including the business concerning the balance account, cash account, taxes, national loans, currency, deposit in the Treasury, safe-deposits in the Treasury, banking and trust business, and the supervision of the financing of the municipal and other local administrative organizations."

Bill of Appropriations, more commonly called the Budget, is the most important responsibility of the Minister. The drafting of all bills for bond flotation and redemption and the setting of tax rates and general interest rates are also his responsibility,

There are extensive relations with the great banks and other moneyed institutions. The acquisition of conquered territory greatly increased the duties arising out of money and banking. Guidance of the banking system established

in Manchuria has created a heavy responsibility.

The financial experience of nearly all the Ministers has been wide and varied. With few exceptions all have served for a number of years within the Ministry. They have also held important positions in the government banks and in large private industrial corporations.

The Minister of Finance always makes a formal address on fiscal matters before the Diet. The bureaux are divided into counts: Accounts Bureau, Bureau of Taxation, Bureau of Fund Employment or Finance, and the Banking Bureau. Governmental Institutions: Bank of Japan, Yokohama Specie Bank, Hypothec Bank of Japan, the Industrial Bank of Japan, and such other Private Banks as: the First Bank, the Mitsubishi Bank, the Mitsui Bank, and the Sumitomo Bank.

Then there is the National Savings Encouragement Bureau and the Bureau of Monopoly.

The Government maintains four monopolies; the Tobacco Monopoly, the Salt Monopoly, the Crude Camphor and Camphor Oil Monopolies, and the Alcohol Monopoly. The remaining bureaux of the old Ministry are the National Prosperity Bureau, the Mint, the Customs, the Foreign Exchange Control

and the Deposit Funds Management Bureau.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The Minsistry of Home Affairs, an Imperial Organization setting up these and others, is to be found in Exhibit No. 88 in this case, is charged with the responsibility for domestic relations and conditions within the interior. Because of its control of State Shinto the police and fire departmental systems, together with the conscription system, war-time price enforcement and general elections, this Ministry reaches into the family life of every subject in Japan, Ken, Machi and Mura, together with all the implications of the electoral system, empower this Ministry with tremendous political advantages -- that is to say, in the perfectures, towns and villages. The Bureaux are: the Shrine Bureau, the Bureau of Local Affairs, and the Police Bureau. administration of the last mentioned, the Police System, is a heavy responsibility because of its ramifications and varied duties.

The Civil Police are not to be confused with the Kempei-tai, who are military police under the Prvost Marshal EGeneral of the Army.

Although acting under the authority of the Minister of Home Affairs the civil po-

liceman in Japan is considered by the Japanese a representative of the Emperor. This fact gives vitality to all his functions and his powers. It brings about curious situations sometimes when Army and Navy personnel are involved, for they too have always considered themselves representatives of the Emperor. Moreover, rank and official prestige make very little difference when the police take action.

As an officer of the Emperor, he is, whether on his beat, directing traffic, or in his home, the policeman is feared as well as respected. When speaking to them citizens remove their hats and bow deeply. They do not put on their hats until the conversation is over and they have bowed again in taking their most polite leave.

Police stations are established in districts which are determined geographical areas and people for generations have come to the police station to register complaints, present their local grievances and give information of any unusual circumstance. Much of the power of the police in Japan comes from this age-old people to patrolman custom.

In his district he has duties to perform other than strict policing. He is in charge
of: census taking, important civil surveys and
general inspection tours. These civil duties
add to his high prestige and power and citizens

of his district send him freshly cooked food and

7 rice or candies.

His sword is a token of dignity held over from a long samurai past. He has, in the larger cities, modern mechanical and electrical equipment, such as radio, telephone and sirens. Special instructions on the method of challenge are given by Seki in Lectures on police duties when he said: "(1) Decide whether or not a person is suspicious from his external appearance, such as his features, peruliar characteristics and behavior; (2) Ask the original starting point, destination and nature of his business; 3 Ascertain his domicile, residence, occupation, name and age; (4) Ask questions on other points which do not touch upon the main point of the case if either the doubt is not cleared up or the suspicion is strengthened; (5) Inspect his possessions with Only after doing these things his permission. is it to be decided whether or not the suspect

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

is to be accompanied to the police station."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Further there is added: "Not to permit the destruction of proofs, not to permit escape, and not to neglect the precautionary measure of self-defense."

There is in this Ministry the Peace Preservation Section. This section is concerned with maintaining order in the country through routine and special investigations, such as are required by the Public Peace Police Law. public meetings are held the organizers have to clear both the purposes and speakers of the meeting with the police. The police have the power to stop speakers when they are indoctrinating the audience with Left Wing doctrine, and, to close the meeting. Public morals and public conduct are supervised minutely by the police. All places of amusement are inspected. Licensed prostitution is controlled and protection afforded to the Geisha system. And there is the Crime Prevention Section, and it is only sufficient to say that the crime rate is low in Japan and great attention is prid to all suspects.

Then there is the Books or Publications
Section. This section is charged with censor-

ship of all books, magazines and newspapers. However, censorship bans are issued also by the Metropolitan Police Board and by judges of the District Court.

Books and magazines are censored in the manuscript form before publication and thus there are few banned books in Japan. Foreign publications are restricted generally at the ports although some have been banned after they have been in circulation for some period of time.

Newspapers are sent official warnings as to what can or cannot be published or how much of a situation or story at any time may appear in print. For example, on the 3rd of September 1940 the warning was sent: "Nothing is to be mentioned of the coming to Japan, presence here or movements of Heinrich Stahmer, a German Minister, who will be at the German Embassy on a certain mission." Forced apologies, fines, imprisonment, and closing down of newspapers are the results of any infringement.

Then there is the Economic Peace Preservation Section. Its function is price control enforcement and black market control.

There are three highly important in-

stitutions attached to the Ministry, namely:

(a) The Metropolitan Police Force, which includes a general headquarters, and the work of this Department includes the Special Higher Police Department; which corresponds to the American Federal Bureau of Investigation. Special instructions are issued on thought control; (b) The Air Raid Defense General Headquarters, and (c), The Police Training School.

Then there is the Ministry of Education,
Article XIV. The Meiji reformers founded a Department of Education and an educational system
early in the Restoration after careful planning .
and study of western forms and systems.

The Ministry with the exception of the Schools of the Army and the Navy and a few special others, is charged with the direction and supervision of all educational matters, which include arts and sciences and religion. The authorization creating this Ministry is to be found in Exhibit 91 in the case.

Under the jurisdiction of the Ministry also are scientific laboratories and observatories, many institutes, libraries and councils whose influence reaches to all parts of the Empire.

It controls the national schools or those of the central government and all higher institutions of learning, such as the universities, colleges, technical colleges and the higher schools.

In the Bureau of Textbooks there is a Textbook Committee in charge of the data and material used in all the texts and manuals over which the Ministry has complete authority. Members of the Committee are from the Army and Navy, universities and the building world. This complete control by the central government over all important educational affairs has enable, the authorities to inculcate the younger generation with its own dogma and has been one of the reasons why the Japanese have acquiesced so easily in government policies.

The Imperial Ordinance on 10 January, 1938 established the Ministry of Welfare following an investigation and report by the Cabinet Planning Board.

The Ministry is charged with the responsibility of "promoting the physical strength and welfare of the nation" which involves the general and special fields of health, sanitation, hygiene, labour and social insurance.

In the formation of the Ministry of Welfare the Ministry of Home Affairs lost the Bureau
of Public Hygiene and Social Welfare, reducing the
Home Ministry by two-fifths of its regular number
of personnel. The Ministry of Commerce and Industry
lost the section of labour hygiene administered by
the Bureau of Mines. The Ministry of Communications
lost some of its jurisdiction over post office life
insurance and annuities. The Ministry of Education
surrendered its duties relating to training and
sports outside the school system.

The Section of Housing under this Ministry expanded rapidly during the war because of the necessity of increased housing facilities in factory areas.

In 1943, this Ministry of Communications was merged with the Ministry of Railways. The new Ministry is that of Transportation and Communications. Although some bureaus and sections were eliminated the essential services and functions have been retained.

The old Ministry of Communications was

established in 1885 and its functions were widened so that its authority comprise the post office, the fields of aviation, shipbuilding, water transportation and all matters of electricity including the telephone, telegraph, radio, cable and beacon services.

The Ministers' experience have nearly all been in politics, although a few men in the last ten Cabinets have had executive and business careers in steamship or business corporations.

A department or section in government for justice has been traditional for over a thousand years in Japan. The Great Reform Measure of 649 A. D. established the first definite Ministry. In the Tokugawa era the court system was greatly enlarged. Laws and ordinances passed down to 1889 have given the Ministry its present structure.

The Minister of Justice is responsible for the administration of civil and criminal law and penal institutions. Supervising authority is exercised over the procurators and junges.

Prosecuting and defending cases involving the Imperial Family and the State are other important duties of the Ministry.

The personnel of the Ministry includes

not only the District Court judges and the procurators, but also those of the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court, and their personnel.

Almost without exception the Minister has been either a Supreme Court Justice or a Procurator General and has had long experience within the Ministry. He appoints Judges and Procurators, and whether they are promoted or not depends upon his recommendation.

The Imperial Ordinance No, 137 of March 1926 created the Ministry of Commerce and Industry as a separate Ministry. In 1943 it was abolished, and its functions were transferred to the Ministry of Munitions and the Ministry of Agriculture and Commerce.

The Ministry had general jurisdiction of industry and all industrial matters of supplies -- their processing, and their fabrication. It also controlled the import and export trade of all commodities. The Patent Office also came under its jurisdiction.

After the nationalization of railways in 1907, a Railway Board was created to operate and manage the new State system.

By Imperial Ordinance No. 143 of 15 May,

1 2

1920, the Board was abolished and its function absorbed by the newly established Ministry of Railays.

The Ministry is responsible for the oper-

Railways, and also the supervision of the private railways, which include the private and municipal

7 8

trolley lines. In performing these duties the Ministry maintains electrical generating stations and

power plants and operates large ferry services and a hotel system.

The Ministry is independent in its finances from the State accounts because of the provisions of the Imperial Reilway Special Account Law of 1909.

The appointment of the Minister has been unusually free from politics and so has the entire administration. The Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry is the old Ministry now merged with some of the bureaus of Ministry of Agricukture and Commerce. This Ministry had authority over all matters relating to agriculture, forestry and fisheries.

The Ministry of Overseas Affairs was established by Imperial Ordinance No. 152 of 10 June 1929, and is to be found in Exhibit 87 in the case.

Article I of the Ordinance provided that

the Ministry was to control all affairs relating to the Chosen Governor General's Office, the Taiwan Governor General's Office, the Kwantung Administration Office and the South Seas Administration Office. It also provided that the Ministry was to supervise the operation of the two great corporations, the South Manchuria Railway Company, Ltd., and the Oriental Development Company, Ltd.

In the abovementioned areas the Ministry did not have complete authority, for some matters were the responsibility of the Ministries of War, of Finance, of Communications and of Home Affairs.

In the major wartime reorganization of the government, the Ministry was abolished and its functions divided and transferred to the Cabinet and to the Ministry of Home Affairs and some finally to the Ministry of Greater East Asia. Constant political changes have taken place in colonial administratration with a view to strengthening the government by making the colonies feel that they are an integral part of the Empire.

If I may, I would ask the Tribunal to permit me to turn my attention at this stage to wer time changes in government administration.

First, there was the Ministry of Greater East Asia.

In September and October, 1942 a cabinet reorganization removed all matters pertaining to the
"reconstruction" of the Greater East Asia CoProsperity Sphere from the Foreign Office and
placed them in the hands of the Minister of
Greater East Asia.

Article I of the Imperial Ordinance No.
707 of 1942 creating the Ministry, and which is to
be found in Exhibit 90 in the case, provides:
"The Greater East Asia Minister shall supervise
the operation of the Kwantung Bureau and of the
South Seas government office. He shall direct
also the work of diplomatic officials and consuls
residing in Greater East Asia."

In many respects the establishment of this Ministry effective on 1 November, 1942, was the most important single administrative change in Japan within recent years.

The Government in announcing the creation of the Ministry stated that it was to be a general agency "for conducting administrative work covering the field of politics, economy and culture within the Greater East Asia Sphere, excepting Japan proper, Chosen, Taiwan and Karafuto." However, all matters of a purely diplomatic nature were left to the juris-

diction of the Ministry of Foreign Argairs.

The administration of Greater East Asia Affairs had previously been divided between the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, the Ministry of Overseas Affairs, the China Affairs Board and the Manchurian Affairs Board.

The new Ministry reflected the confident hope of the Government that Japanese conquests were permanent and that the time had come for full and complete exploitation of occupied territories. By the formation of this Ministry, the Army deprived the Foreign Ministry of any power it might have to challenge Army policy in occupied territory.

As abovementioned, this point is made clear in Article XIXof the Imperial Ordinance No. 707 of 1942 creating the Ministry, which provides that:
"For close cooperation with the Army and Navy, the Greater East Asia Ministry will conduct affairs concerning administration of the occupied areas within the Greater East Asia Area."

The Ministry was originally organized into four bureaus, but a fifth was added in December of 1943.

The first was the Executive. This Bureau had jurisdiction over all matters pertaining to the

adjustment of ministerial affairs, elaboration of industrial and economic policies and the computation of statistics.

The Menchurian Affairs Bureau. The Manchurian Affairs Burea had under its jurisdiction matters pertaining to the formation of Manchurian policies, the Kwantung Bureau, the Bureaus of Finance, Trade, Industry, Commodities, Prices and Communications relating to Manchukuo, the Southern Manchurian Railway Company, the Manchurian Development Company and other agencies interested in the development of Manchukuo.

Than there was the China Affairs Eureau.

It was entrusted with the formation of policies in the execution of administrative affairs concerning China, the promotion of cultural enterprises, finances, trade, agriculture, forestry, fisheries, the North China Davelopment Company, the Central China Davelopment Company and other activities of a similar nature.

Then there was the Southern Regions

Affairs Bureau which had jurisdiction over general affairs connected with the Southern Regions.

Included within its scope of operation was the protection of Thailand and French Indo China, the

education of Japanese in the Southern Regions, the administration of cultural enterprises, finance, trade, agriculture, forestry, fisheries, communications and other similar activities in those areas.

And, lastly, the Industrial Bureau, which was organized in December 1943 to take over certain commercial affairs in Greater East Asia formerly under the junisdiction of the abclished Ministry of Commerce and Industry.

The second important wer time change in governmental administration was the creation of a Cabinet Advisory Council.

Lagislation was enacted by way of Imperial organization, being Exhibit 96 in this case, for the setting up of a system of Cabinet Advisers at a level somewhat lower that that of a Minister without portfolio, although they were to be afforded the treatment of officials of "Shinnin" rank and were appointed by Imperial order. These officers were "to participate in matters of importance concerning the execution of State affairs by the Prime Minister."

The legislation also provided for the creation of a Wartime Economic Council within the Cabinet, an Administrative Supervisory Council, and a Planning Board. The first three bodies formed a

kind of interlocking directorate under the direct supervision of the Premier.

The Cabinet Advisory Council appointed in 1943 was made up of seven leading industrial and political figures. Late in November, 1943, the Government announced the appointment of three additional members of the Cabinet Advisory Council, raising the combership to ten. The three new members were all important industrialists - experts in their own fields.

The Warshme Economic Council included all Cabinet Advisers and also certain State Ministers to be designated by the Premier, including the War and Navy Ministers, and other Ministers concerned with economic development.

The new Planning Board was composed of three advisers, twenty investigators and ten Diet members who were to attend to the administration of the control of natural resources.

The functions of the Board are set out in Article I of the Imperial ordinance, being Exhibit 71 in the case:

"1. Drafting of plans concerning the expansion and employment of the total national resources in time of peace and war, and reporting

of such plens, together with reasons therefor, to the Prime Minister.

The second function of this most important Board was the "investigation of the sints of proposals which are submitted by the Ministers to be Cabinet Council and which have an important bearing upon the expansion and employment of the total national resources in time of peace and war, and reporting together with its opinions to the Cabinet through the Prime Minister.

"Reporting, together with its opinion, to the Cabinet through the Prime Minister with reference to the control of budget for important matters related to the expansion and employment of the total national resources in time of peace and war.

The adjustment and co-ordination of affairs of various government offices with regard to the making and execution of a national mobilization plan.

'Matters concerning the making of a plan
for the utilization of the territory and matters
concerning the control of affairs of various government offices as needed by the plan for the utilization of the territory."

The next thing for consideration is the third important wartime change in general administration of

the english of a Tanta in

Japan was the Munitions Ministry. A Cabinet Planning Board was established in 1937, which is Exhibit
89 in the case, to assume responsibility for the
expansion and mobilization of Japan's National
strength in the war with China. It drafted plans
for Japan's mobilization and presented them to the
Cabinet for its consideration.

In order to co-ordinate the plans of the Cebinet Planning Board with the various governmental Ministries, Control Associations were established by the end of 1941 for each important industry.

These Control Associations were under the supervision of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry and were directed by the former heads of the leading certels of big business houses. The progremme schedules of production in Japan proper, in colonial territories and in occupied territory were first drawn up by the Cabinet Planning Board and, after their approval by the Cabinet, were transmitted to the Control Associations.

However, the demands of the wer for increased production resulted in competition among the various Control Associations for raw materials and other supplies.

Consequently, more complete control

measures had to be inaugurated by the Government at the insistence of the Army leaders.

The result was finally achieved in the autumn of 1943 through the establishment of a Ministry of Munitions and the inauguration of widespread administrative changes within the Government itself.

given us a most interesting account of the Government of Japan but I think we are all wondering whether we can regard this as a concise statement within the meaning of our order. I do not know how much you have to tell us, but it may be that you could shorten it without impairing its value.

I leave it in your hands.

We adjourn now until thirty minutes efter one o'clock temorrow.

(Whereupon, at 1602, an adjournment was taken until Friday, 14 June, 1946 at 1330.)